

HEALTH, WELFARE AND PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

MEETING AGENDA

August 23, 2018

7:00 PM, ROOM 231 City Hall

- I. Welcome and Roll Call**
- II. Approval of July 26, 2018 Minutes**
- III. Public Participation**
- IV. New Business**

Action Items

Police Department

- 1a. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling to execute any and all agreements, documents, instruments and amendments necessary to apply for and accept grant funds under the FY2018 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant in the amount of \$36,609.00.
- 1b. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling, to execute any and all agreements, documents, instruments and amendments thereto as may be necessary to implement all programs through the FY 2018 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant local solicitation pursuant to such grant funding.

Early Childhood Office

- 2. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling, to enter into or amend contractual instruments in the name and on behalf of the City of Norwalk with the State of Connecticut Office of Early Childhood for the purpose of the Child Day Care program operated by the Connecticut Office of Early Childhood.
- 3. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling, to execute any and all documents related to Contract # 18OECCDC01NWK with the State of Connecticut Office of Early Childhood for the 2018-2020 Child Day Care Program to be funded by the Connecticut Office of Early Childhood.
- 4. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling, to execute subgrant agreements between the City of Norwalk and i) Stepping Stones Museum for Children, Inc., ii) Growing Seeds Too Child Development Center, Inc. at Ben Franklin Center, iii) Odyssey Learning, Inc. at Nathaniel Ely Center, and iv) Connecticut Institute for Communities, Inc., for funding, under the Child Day Care Program, for the provision of child care services in accordance with the office of Early Childhood Purchase of Service Contract # 18OECCDC01NWK.

V. Old Business

Discussion Items:

1. Potential Preparedness Community Awareness Events
2. Ryan Park Cleanup & Washington Village
3. Zoning & Code Enforcement

CITY OF NORWALK
HEALTH, WELFARE, PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE
July 26, 2018

ATTENDANCE: Nick Sacchinelli, Chairman; Doug Stern; Chris Yerinides;
George Tsiranides; Ernie Dumas; Doug Hempstead (arrived
at 7:08PM)

STAFF: Deanna D'Amore, Director of Health; Chief Gatto, Fire
Chief, Chris King, Asst. Fire Chief; Tom Closter, Health
Department

I. WELCOME - ROLL CALL

Mr. Sacchinelli called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. and stated that the above members were in attendance and there was a quorum present.

II. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

June 28, 2018

**** MR. YERINIDES MOVED TO APPROVE THE MINUTES FROM THE MEETING OF JUNE 28, 2018 AS SUBMITTED.**

**** THE MOTION PASSED WITH (3) THREE IN FAVOR AND (2) TWO ABSTENTIONS. (MR. TSIRANIDES AND MR. DUMAS).**

III. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Diane Lauricella

Ms. Lauricella stated that she thinks that the two items for discussion is a great beginning dialogue. She said there had been 22 people who had attended a community

public information session and several great questions had come out of it such as how many samples were done, what contaminants were left in the ground, where they are located and what the concentration is; and the consultant should have had those answers but there are still some questions on the property according to the consultants with the help of someone from the DEEP's remediation program and she feels they are important enough questions. She said that she had requested hard copy maps from the Redevelopment Agency which they had not gotten. She said she has looked at some of the test results and she is still not sure how much has been left in the and the public should have some say prior to someone in government deciding how much gets removed, and the site does flood from the harbor and the representative from the DEEP did not know what the affect of the rising sea level and stormwater would have on infiltrating the contaminated material that is still left in the ground. She said that the property has already been graded and she is in favor of Ryan Park being renovated but she is not in favor of is the false sense of security and there needs to be a dialogue sooner rather than later.

Ms. Lauricella stated that Zoning and Code Enforcement has a lot to do with public health and there are a multitude of examples one being noise and noise is a health issue but happens to be a zoning regulation but it is also a police matter. She requested that the committee have an ongoing discussion regarding examples of where lack of zoning compliance has effectuated health issues which were serious at times. She distributed a list of issues to the committee. She said there had been a situation where a building had started to collapse at 15 Chestnut Street and it took far too long to have the building taken down which she believes was both a blight and zoning violation, and this would be a great way for this committee to connect the dots and she looks forward to the discussion and providing the committee with more documentation.

John Mosby

Mr. Mosby stated that he lives on Adamson Avenue and the people who live in South Norwalk are afraid to speak due to retaliation. He said that nothing has been inspected and the trailers come in the middle of the night making noise making it impossible to sleep. He asked the committee who is protecting the citizens; and that they are responsible for protecting the citizens and that is why they were elected. He said according to the newspaper people are leaving Norwalk including the rich because they don't like what they are seeing.

Darlene Young

Ms. Young stated as a 27 year resident in the South Norwalk area seeing all of the changes that are happening some of which can be good, but she also sees that there are concerns and issues that need to be addressed and thanked Mr. Dumas for putting those issues up front and bringing them to the appropriate committees to address them. She said the South Norwalk area is one of the oldest parts of the city and the zoning and codes need to change with the community and she does not think they have and that is an issue that needs to be addressed, and she hopes that this committee as it moves forward through this process will address some of the issues one being a portable toilet company moving into a densely populated area which is not right, and that is a concern and the other issue she always hear is that there is nothing that can be done because its grandfathered in, but she thinks there is something that can be done and should be done because it's not fair and doesn't make sense. She said she hopes that there will continue to be dialogue and conversations on how those changes can be made so that everyone in every part of the City of Norwalk has a quality of life that is meaningful, healthy, good and safe and currently the scales are not balanced on what is occurring in South Norwalk.

IV. NEW BUSINESS

Action Items:

1. APPROVE A CAPITAL APPROPRIATION IN THE AMOUNT OF \$133,915 FROM THE CAPITAL FUND BALANCE TO INCREASE THE AVAILABLE FUNDS FOR THE PURCHASE OF SCBA AIR PACKS.

Chief Gatto said that \$360,000 was put into the capital budget to replace 56 of the self contained breathing apparatus several years ago, but when they had gone out to bid this year it was determined that in 2014 the National Fire Protection Association changed the standard on the self contained breathing apparatus. He said they now need to purchase new high temperature masks and regulators which increased the price.

**** MR. HEMPSTEAD MOVED TO APPROVE THE ITEM.**

**** THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

2. AUTHORIZE THE PURCHASING AGENT TO ISSUE A PURCHASE ORDER TO SHIPMAN'S FIRE EQUIPMENT CO. INC., 172 CROSS ROAD, WATERFORD, CT. 06835 FOR THE PURCHASE OF SCBA (SELF CONTAINED BREATHING APPARATUS) FOR A TOTAL NOT TO EXCEED \$493,915.00 #3809 SCBA EQUIPMENT. ACCOUNT NO. 09183110 5777 C0310

Chief Gatto said that Shipman's Fire Equipment is one of two vendors who put a bid and there bid included a five year maintenance program and would also provide extra equipment that is needed to run the self contained breathing apparatus which is a savings of approximately \$41,000 as opposed to the other vendor. He said they will also match the amount of bottles that they purchase which is a savings of \$26,000. Mr. Stern asked when the last time these items were replaced. Chief Gatto said is 2004 they had purchased 42 packs. Mr. Stern asked Chief Gatto if he anticipated that the new self contained breathing apparatus will be good for approximately the same amount of time. Asst. Chief King said "yes" and they have a 12 to 15 year life span before they are no longer supported.

**** MR. YERINIDES MOVED TO APPROVE THE ITEM
** THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

1. Ryan Park Cleanup

Mr. Dumas said that he has some concerns about what containments are left in the ground. He also discussed Washington Village project and said there are a lot of people that still live there, and he had asked at a meeting that was held at Calvary Baptist Church if the windows that are boarded up are vacant or if they are boarded up to protect the people that live there. He said that he was told that he would need to ask Mr. Ireland and that Mayor Rilling had made a statement that no one is living in the buildings but as they had walked around it was discovered that there were approximately 80 families there and it is heartfelt what the people are going through. He said that they are tried speaking with some of the residents but most did not want to talk to them because they were afraid of being evicted. He said while walking the site with the Fire Department and the Health Department it was discovered in some of

the buildings the water in the basement was 2 ½ feet high and these are some of the issues that the people of Washington Village have to deal with. He said that some of the issues are due to neglect from the Housing Authority and there are some people that we don't know about that have some sort of ailment due to their living conditions but won't say anything out of fear. He said while on the committee he will voice his opinion and will not stop for anyone while trying to help the people in our community.

Mr. Dumas discussed the issues they had had for numerous years with Premiere Fire Wood and said that they have moved out but now there is a company that is moving in with portable toilets, and that he had approached the gentlemen who was delivering them and he had stated that he had had an in-completed permit and that he can store them inside of the building but not on the outside and he contacted Mr. Kleppin. He said that Mr. Kleppin had responded by e-mail stating that there were no portable toilets on site and he didn't like his response and that it makes him look like a liar and there should be no permit issued for this.

Mr. Closter said that he has gone to the Washington Village project and met the Director of Maintenance and they are trying to satisfy the issues that Mr. Dumas had discussed, and they are in contact with the DEEP to determine the next course of action on the removal of the wastewater. Mr. Stern asked Mr. Closter if the Health Department is looking into the fact that there are still families living in Washington Village. Mr. Closter said the Housing Authority has two phases on the plan which includes moving the families into the newer buildings. Mr. Dumas invited the committee to do a site visit so they can see the conditions. Mr. Hempstead asked if the families that are currently there were promised to move into the new units first. Mr. Dumas said "yes". Mr. Hempstead asked if anyone has called the Health Department to inspect the existing units that are still occupied. Mr. Sacchinelli said "no" and that the Health Department has not received any complaints. Mr. Hempstead said if the complaint would need to come from a resident. Ms. D'Amore said "no". Mr. Hempstead said that he is making a formal complaint and requested that the Health Department do an inspection. It was the direction of the entire committee that an inspection be done. Mr. Stern requested that the item remain on the agenda for further discussion and updates. Mr. Yerinides asked what the process is to get the proper authorities to inspect a building and what the process is for reporting issues. Mr. Sacchinelli said through the Customer Service department and they would create a service request. Mr. Yerinides asked if identification is the issue or if the issue is enforcement. Mr. Closter

said both and agreed that people are likely afraid to call. Mr. Hempstead suggested reaching out to the Redevelopment Agency and requested the environmental reports for Washington Village.

2. Zoning and Code Enforcement

This was discussed under item 1.

V. ADJOURNMENT

**** MR. TSIRANIDES MOVED TO ADJOURN.
** THE MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.**

The meeting was adjourned at 8:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Dilene Byrd
Telesco Secretarial Services



Grant Management System Home

[Manage Users](#)[Applications](#)[Victim](#)[Compensation](#)[Certification](#)[Awards](#)[Funding Opportunities](#)[Grant Adjustments](#)[Grant Monitoring](#)[Conference Reporting](#)[Closeouts](#)[Reports](#)[Profile](#)[SAM Claim](#)[Change Password](#)[Log Off](#)[Help/Frequently Asked Questions](#)

All programs you are currently participating in are listed below. To reduce the size of program listing, choose from the following criteria and press the **Refresh** button.

Year	Solicitation	Refresh
All	All	

Office of Justice Programs has many other funding opportunities that you may be eligible for. To review these opportunities or to start a new application click on [Funding Opportunities](#).

BJA FY 18 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation

Year	Application No.	Status	Correspondence	Action
2018	2018-H3091-CT-DJ	- Application submitted on 07/25/2018 - Application is currently in progress	No Messages Compose message	View

BJA FY 17 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation

Year	Application No.	Status	Correspondence	Action
2017	2017-H2683-CT-DJ	Application submitted on 08/22/2017	No Messages Compose message	View

BJA FY 17 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation

Year	Application No.	Status	Correspondence	Action
2017	2017-H3571-CT-DJ	Application submitted on 09/05/2017	No Messages Compose message	View

BJA FY 17 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program - State Solicitation

Year	Application No.	Status	Correspondence	Action
2017	2017-H2353-CT-DJ	- Application not yet submitted, last saved on 08/22/2017 - Application Deadline expired on 08/25/2017	No Messages Compose message	View

OVC FY 17 Antiterrorism and Emergency Assistance Program (AEAP) for Crime Victim Compensation and Assistance

Year	Application No.	Status	Correspondence	Action
2017	2017-40529-CT-RF	- Application not yet submitted, last saved on 08/22/2017 - Application Deadline expired on 09/30/2017	No Messages Compose message	View

OVC FY 17 NCVRW 2018 Community Awareness Projects Invitation to Apply

Year	Application No.	Status	Correspondence	Action
2017	2017-40416-CT-VF	- Application not yet submitted, last saved on 06/29/2017 - Application Deadline expired on 06/30/2017	No Messages Compose message	View

Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation

Year	Application No.	Status	Correspondence	Action
2016	2016-H3128-CT-DJ	Application submitted on 05/24/2016	No Messages Compose message	View

BJA FY 15 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation

Year	Application No.	Status	Correspondence	Action
2015	2015-H2553-CT-DJ	Application submitted on 06/10/2015	No Messages Compose message	View

BJA FY 14 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program: Local

Year	Application No.	Status	Correspondence	Action
2014	2014-H3881-CT-DJ	Application submitted on 06/06/2014	No Messages Compose message	View

BJA FY 13 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program: Local

Year	Application No.	Status	Correspondence	Action
2013	2013-H4472-CT-DJ	Application submitted on 07/03/2013	No Messages Compose message	View

R Recovery Act

OMB Form 1123-0243, exp. 07/31/2007



BJA FY 18 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation

2018-H3091-CT-DJ

[Application](#)[Correspondence](#)Application: **Application Handbook****Overview**[Overview](#)[Applicant Information](#)

This handbook allows you to complete the application process for applying to the BJA FY 18 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation. At the end of the application process you will have the opportunity to view and print the SF-424 form.

[Project Information](#)[Budget and Program Attachments](#)[Assurances and Certifications](#)[Review SF 424](#)[Submit Application](#)

*Type of Submission	Application Non-Construction
*Type of Application	New If Revision, select appropriate option If Other, specify
*Is application subject to review by state executive order 12372 process?	N/A Program has not been selected by state for review

[Help/Frequently Asked Questions](#)[GMS Home](#)[Log Off](#)



BJA FY 18 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation

2018-H3091-CT-DJ

[Application](#)[Correspondence](#)Application: **Application Handbook****Applicant Information**[Overview](#)[Applicant Information](#)[Project Information](#)[Budget and Program Attachments](#)[Assurances and Certifications](#)[Review SF 424](#)[Submit Application](#)[Help/Frequently Asked Questions](#)[GMS Home](#)[Log Off](#)

*Is the applicant delinquent on any federal debt	No
*Employer Identification Number (EIN)	06-6011881
*Type of Applicant	Municipal
Type of Applicant (other):	
*Organizational Unit	Police Department
*Legal Name (Legal Jurisdiction Name)	City of Norwalk
*Vendor Address 1	One Monroe Street
Vendor Address 2	
*Vendor City	Norwalk
Vendor County/Parish	
*Vendor State	Connecticut
*Vendor ZIP	06854-3103
Point of Contact Information for matters involving this application	
Contact Prefix:	Other
Contact Prefix (Other):	Lieutenant
Contact First Name:	Bruce
Contact Middle Initial:	
Contact Last Name:	Hume
Contact Suffix:	
Contact Suffix (Other):	
Contact Title:	Grant Coordinator
Contact Address Line 1:	One Monroe Street
Contact Address Line 2:	
Contact City:	Norwalk
Contact State:	Connecticut

Contact Zip Code:	06854-3103
Contact Phone Number:	(203) 854-3007
Contact Fax Number:	(203) 854-9215
Contact E-mail Address:	bhume@norwalkct.org

Continue



BJA FY 18 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation

2018-H3091-CT-DJ

[Application](#)[Correspondence](#)Application: [Application Handbook](#)[Project Information](#)[Overview](#)[Applicant Information](#)[Project Information](#)[Budget and Program Attachments](#)[Assurances and Certifications](#)[Review SF 424](#)[Submit Application](#)[Help/Frequently Asked Questions](#)[GMS Home](#)[Log Off](#)

Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project

Police High Visibility and Selective Enforcement Patrol Project. Officers will be hired on an overtime basis for increased foot, bike, and motor patrol in response to unanticipated and increased criminal activity. Officers will be hired to address criminal gang activity, with a focus on the illegal possession and use of firearms. For major events that draw large crowds to the city, officers will be hired for increased foot patrols to deter criminal activity such as the Norwalk Oyster Festival, Fireworks, NICE Festival and SONO Arts Festival.

Areas Affected by Project

The greater Norwalk area, incorporating both urban and suburban neighborhoods, city parks and beaches.

Proposed Project

	*Start Date	October/ 01/ 2018
	*End Date	September/ 30/ 2022

*Congressional Districts of

	Project	Congressional District 04, CT
--	---------	-------------------------------

*Estimated Funding

Federal	\$36609.00
Applicant	\$0.00
State	\$0.00
Local	\$0.00
Other	\$0.00
Program Income	\$0.00
TOTAL	\$36609.00

[Continue](#)


**BJA FY 18 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance
Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation**

2018-H3091-CT-DJ



Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire

Form Submitted On: Wed Jul 25 15:48:47 EDT 2018

#	Question	Provided Response
1.	Name of Organization and Address: Organization Name:	City of Norwalk CT
	Street1:	125 East Avenue
	Street2:	-
	City:	Norwalk
	State:	CONNECTICUT
	Zip Code:	06851
2.	Authorized Representative's Name and Title: Prefix:	-
	First Name:	Harry
	Middle Name:	W
	Last Name:	Rilling
	Suffix:	-
	Title:	Mayor
3.	Phone:	203 854 7701
4.	Fax:	-
5.	Email:	hrilling@norwalkct.org
6.	Year Established:	1913
7.	Employer Identification Number (EIN):	066011881
8.	DUNS Number:	8014032180000
9. a)	Is the applicant entity a nonprofit organization (including a nonprofit institution of higher education) as described in 26 of U.S.C. 501(c)(3) and exempt from taxation under 26 U.S.C. 501 (a)?	No
9. b)	Does the applicant nonprofit organization maintain offshore accounts for the purpose of avoiding paying the tax described in 26 U.S.C. 511(a)?	-
9. c)	With respect to the most recent year in which the applicant nonprofit organization was required to file a tax return, does the applicant nonprofit organization believe (or assert) that it satisfies the requirements of 26 C.F.R. 53.4958-6 (which relate to the reasonableness of compensation of certain individuals)?	-
10.	Has the applicant entity undergone any of the following types of audit(s)(Please check all that apply): [OMB A-133 Single Audit]	Yes

	Has the applicant entity undergone any of the following types of audit(s)(Please check all that apply): [Financial Statement Audit]	Yes
	Has the applicant entity undergone any of the following types of audit(s)(Please check all that apply): [Defense Contract Agency Audit (DCAA)]	-
	Has the applicant entity undergone any of the following types of audit(s)(Please check all that apply): [Other Audit]	-
	Other Audit Agency (list type of audit)	-
	Has the applicant entity undergone any of the following types of audit(s)(Please check all that apply): [None]	-
11.	Most Recent Audit Report Issued:	Within the last 12 months
	Name of Audit Agency/Firm:	RSM US LLP New Haven CT
12.	On the most recent audit, what was the auditor's opinion?	Unqualified Opinion
	Enter the number of findings (if none, enter "0"):	0
	Enter the dollar amount of questioned costs (if none, enter "\$0"):	\$0.00
	Were material weaknesses noted in the report or opinion?	No
13.	Which of the following best describes the applicant entity's accounting system:	Automated
14.	Does the applicant entity's accounting system have the capability to identify the receipt and expenditure of award funds separately separately for each Federal award?	Yes
15.	Does the applicant entity's accounting system have the capability to record expenditures for each Federal award by the budget cost categories shown in the approved budget?	Yes
16.	Does the applicant entity's accounting system have the capability to record cost sharing ("match") separately for each Federal award, and maintain documentation to support recorded match or cost share?	Yes
17.	Does the applicant entity's accounting system have the capability to accurately track employee actual time spent performing work for each federal award, and to accurately allocate charges for employee salaries and wages for each federal award, and maintain records to support the actual time spent and specific allocation of charges associated with each applicant employee?	Yes
18.	Does the applicant entity's accounting system include budgetary controls to preclude the applicant entity from incurring obligations or costs that exceed the amount of funds available under a federal award (the total amount of the award, as well as the amount available in each budget cost category)?	Yes
19.		Yes

	Is applicant entity familiar with the "cost principles" that apply to recent and future federal awards, including the general and specific principles set out in 2 C.F.R. Part 200?	
20.	Does the applicant entity's property management system(s) maintain the following information on property purchased with federal award funds (1) a description of the property; (2) an identification number; (3) the source of funding for the property, including the award number; (4) where holds title; (5) acquisition date; (6) acquisition cost; (7) federal share of the acquisition cost; (8) location and condition of the property; (9) ultimate disposition information?	Yes
21.	Does the applicant entity maintain written policies and procedures for procurement transaction that - (1) are designed to avoid unnecessary or duplicative purchases; (2) provide for analysis of lease versus purchase alternatives; (3) set out a process for soliciting goods and services, and (4) include standards of conduct that address conflicts of interest?	Yes
22. a)	Are the applicant entity's procurement policies and procedures designed to ensure that procurements are conducted in a manner that provides full and open competition to the extent practicable, and to avoid practices that restrict competition?	Yes
22. b)	Does the applicant entity's procurement policies and procedures require documentation of the history of a procurement, including the rationale for the method of procurement, selection of contract type, selection or rejection of contractors, and basis for the contract price?	Yes
23.	Does the applicant entity have written policies and procedures designed to prevent the applicant entity from entering into a procurement contract under a federal award with any entity or individual that is suspended or debarred from such contracts, including provisions for checking the "Excluded Parties List" system (www.sam.gov) for suspended or debarred sub-grantees and contractors, prior to award?	Yes
24. (a)	Does the applicant entity maintain a standard travel policy?	Yes
24. (b)	Does the applicant entity adhere to the Federal Travel Regulation (FTR)?	Yes
25.	Does the applicant entity have written policies, procedures, and/or guidance designed to ensure that any subawards made by the applicant entity under a federal award - (1) clearly document applicable federal requirements, (2) are appropriately monitored by the applicant, and (3) comply with the requirements in 2 CFR Part 200 (see 2 CFR 200.331)?	N/A
26.	Is the applicant entity aware of the differences between subawards under federal awards and procurement contracts under federal	N/A

	awards, including the different roles and responsibilities associated with each?	
27.	Does the applicant entity have written policies and procedures designed to prevent the applicant entity from making a subaward under a federal award to any entity or individual that is suspended or debarred from such subawards?	N/A
28.	Is the applicant entity designated "high risk" by a federal grant-making agency outside of DOJ? (High risk includes any status under which a federal awarding agency provides additional oversight due to the applicant's past performance, or other programmatic or financial concerns with the applicant.)	No
(a)	Name(s) of the federal awarding agency:	-
(b)	Date(s) the agency notified the applicant entity of the "high risk" designation:	-
(c)	Contact information for the "high risk" point of contact at the federal agency:	-
	Phone:	-
	Email:	-
(d)	Reason for "high risk" status, as set out by the federal agency:	-
Cert.	Name:	Frederick J Gilden
	Date:	-
	Title:	Chief Financial Officer
	Other:	-
	Phone:	203 854 7710
Close this window		



General Instructions & Resources

[View Budget Summary](#)

OMB APPROVAL NO.: 1121-0329
EXPIRES 7/31/2016

Budget Detail Worksheet

- (1) **Purpose:** The Budget Detail Worksheet is provided for your use in the preparation of the budget and budget narrative. All required information (including the budget narrative) must be provided. Any category of expense not applicable to your budget may be left blank. Indicate any **non-federal** (**match**) amount in the appropriate category, if applicable.
- (2) For each budget category, you can see a sample by clicking (**To View an Example, Click Here**) at the end of each description.
- (3) There are various hot links listed in red in the budget categories that will provide additional information via documents on the internet.
- (4) **Record Retention:** In accordance with the requirements set forth in **2 CFR Part 200.333** , all financial records, supporting documents, statistical records, and all other records pertinent to the award shall be retained by each organization for at least three years following the closure of the audit report covering the grant period.
- (5) The information disclosed in this form is subject to the Freedom of Information Act under 5 U.S.C. 55.2.

A. Personnel – List each position by title and name of employee, if available. Show the annual salary rate and the percentage of time to be devoted to the project. Compensation paid for employees engaged in grant activities must be consistent with that paid for similar work within the applicant organization. Include a description of the responsibilities and duties of each position in relationship to fulfilling the project goals and objectives. (Note: Use whole numbers as the percentage of time, an example is 75.50% should be shown as 75.50) [To View an Example, Click Here](#)

PERSONNEL (FEDERAL)

Name	Position	Computation			Cost
		Salary	Basis	Percentage of Time	
Patrol Officer	High Vis/ SE Officer	\$70.00	Hour	100.00	\$36,540
FEDERAL TOTAL					\$36,540

PERSONNEL NARRATIVE (FEDERAL)

PERSONNEL (NON-FEDERAL)

Name	Position	Computation				Cost
		Salary	Basis	Percentage of Time	Length of Time	
			Year			\$0
NON-FEDERAL TOTAL						\$0

PERSONNEL NARRATIVE (NON-FEDERAL)

TOTAL PERSONNEL	\$36,540
-----------------	----------

B. Fringe Benefits – Fringe benefits should be based on actual known costs or an approved negotiated rate by a Federal agency. If not based on an approved negotiated rate, list the composition of the fringe benefit package. Fringe benefits are for the personnel listed in budget category (A) and only for the percentage of time devoted to the project. Fringe benefits on overtime hours are limited to FICA, Workman's Compensation and Unemployment Compensation. (Note: Use decimal numbers for the fringe benefit rates, an example is 7.65% should be shown as .0765) To View an Example, Click Here

FRINGE BENEFITS (FEDERAL)

Description	Computation		Cost
	Base	Rate	
			\$0
FEDERAL TOTAL			\$0

FRINGE BENEFITS NARRATIVE (FEDERAL)

FRINGE BENEFITS (NON-FEDERAL)

Description	Computation		Cost
	Base	Rate	
			\$0
NON-FEDERAL TOTAL			\$0

FRINGE BENEFITS NARRATIVE (NON-FEDERAL)

TOTAL FRINGE BENEFITS	\$0
-----------------------	-----

C. **Travel** – Itemize travel expenses of staff personnel by purpose (e.g., staff to training, field interviews, advisory group meeting, etc.). Describe the purpose of each travel expenditure in reference to the project objectives. Show the basis of computation (e.g., six people to 3-day training at \$X airfare, \$X lodging, \$X subsistence). In training projects, travel and meals for trainees should be listed separately. Show the number of trainees and the unit costs involved. Identify the location of travel, if known; or if unknown, indicate “location to be determined.” Indicate source of Travel Policies applied Applicant or Federal Travel Regulations. Note: Travel expenses for consultants should be included in the “Contractual/Consultant” category. [To View an Example, Click Here](#)

TRAVEL (FEDERAL)

Purpose of Travel	Location	Computation						Cost
		Item	Cost Rate	Basis for Rate	Quantity	Number of People	Number of Trips	Cost
		Lodging		Night				\$0.00
		Meals		Day				\$0.00
		Mileage		Mile				\$0.00
		Transportation:						
				Round-trip				\$0.00
		Local Travel						\$0.00
		Other						
		Subtotal						\$0.00
FEDERAL TOTAL							\$0	

TRAVEL NARRATIVE (FEDERAL)

TRAVEL (NON-FEDERAL)

Purpose of Travel	Location	Computation						Cost
		Item	Cost Rate	Basis for Rate	Quantity	Number of People	Number of Trips	Cost
		Lodging		Night				\$0.00
		Meals		Day				\$0.00
		Mileage		Mile				\$0.00
		Transportation:						
				Round-trip				\$0.00
		Local Travel						\$0.00
		Other						
								\$0.00
		Subtotal						\$0.00
NON-FEDERAL TOTAL							\$0	

TRAVEL NARRATIVE (NON-FEDERAL)

TOTAL TRAVEL

\$0

D. Equipment – List non-expendable items that are purchased (Note: Organization's own capitalization policy for classification of equipment should be used). Expendable items should be included in the "Supplies" category. Applicants should analyze the cost benefits of purchasing versus leasing equipment, especially high cost items and those subject to rapid technological advances. Rented or leased equipment costs should be listed in the "Contractual" category. Explain how the equipment is necessary for the success of the project, and describe the procurement method to be used. To View an Example. Click Here

EQUIPMENT (FEDERAL)

Item	Computation		Cost
	Quantity	Cost	
			\$0
FEDERAL TOTAL			\$0

EQUIPMENT NARRATIVE (FEDERAL)

EQUIPMENT (NON-FEDERAL)

Item	Computation		Cost
	Quantity	Cost	
			\$0
NON-FEDERAL TOTAL			\$0

EQUIPMENT NARRATIVE (NON-FEDERAL)

TOTAL EQUIPMENT	\$0
-----------------	-----

E. **Supplies** – List items by type (office supplies, postage, training materials, copying paper, and expendable equipment items costing less than \$5,000, such as books, hand held tape recorders) and show the basis for computation. Generally, supplies include any materials that are expendable or consumed during the course of the project.

To View an **Example**. [Click Here](#)

SUPPLIES (FEDERAL)

Supply Items	Computation		Cost
	Quantity/Duration	Cost	
			\$0
FEDERAL TOTAL			\$0

SUPPLIES NARRATIVE (FEDERAL)

Supply Items	Computation		Cost
	Quantity/Duration	Cost	
			\$0
NON-FEDERAL TOTAL			\$0

	TOTAL SUPPLIES	\$0
--	----------------	-----

F. **Construction** – Provide a description of the construction project and an estimate of the costs. As a rule, construction costs are not allowable. In some cases, minor repairs or renovations may be allowable. Minor repairs and renovations should be classified in the "other" category. Consult with the program office before budgeting funds in this category. [To View an Example. Click Here](#)

CONSTRUCTION (FEDERAL)

Purpose	Description of Work	Cost
FEDERAL TOTAL		\$0

CONSTRUCTION NARRATIVE (FEDERAL)

CONSTRUCTION (NON-FEDERAL)

Purpose	Description of Work	Cost
NON-FEDERAL TOTAL		\$0

CONSTRUCTION NARRATIVE (NON-FEDERAL)

	TOTAL CONSTRUCTION	\$0
--	--------------------	-----

G. **Consultants/Contracts** -- Indicate whether applicant's formal, written Procurement Policy or the Federal Acquisition Regulations are followed.
Consultant Fees: For each consultant enter the name, if known, service to be provided, hourly or daily fee (8-hour day), and estimated time on the project. Consultant fees in excess of \$650 per day or \$81.25 per hour require additional justification and prior approval from OJP. [To View an Example, Click Here](#)

CONSULTANT FEES (FEDERAL)

Name of Consultant	Service Provided	Computation			Cost
		Fee	Basis	Quantity	
			8 Hour Day		\$0
SUBTOTAL					\$0

CONSULTANT FEES NARRATIVE (FEDERAL)

CONSULTANT FEES (NON-FEDERAL)

Name of Consultant	Service Provided	Computation			Cost
		Fee	Basis	Quantity	
			8 Hour Day		\$0
SUBTOTAL					\$0

CONSULTANT FEES NARRATIVE (NON-FEDERAL)

Consultant Expenses: List all expenses to be paid from the grant to the individual consultants in addition to their fees (i.e., travel, meals, lodging, etc.). This includes travel expenses for anyone who is not an employee of the applicant such as participants, volunteers, partners, etc.

CONSULTANT EXPENSES (FEDERAL)

Purpose of Travel	Location	Computation							Cost
		Item	Cost Rate	Basis for Rate	Quantity	Number of People	Number of Trips	Cost	
		Lodging		Night				\$0.00	
		Meals		Day				\$0.00	
		Mileage		Mile				\$0.00	
		Transportation:							
				Round-trip				\$0.00	
		Local Travel						\$0.00	
		Other							
								\$0.00	
		Subtotal						\$0.00	
SUBTOTAL								\$0	
FEDERAL TOTAL								\$0	

CONSULTANT EXPENSES NARRATIVE (FEDERAL)

CONSULTANT EXPENSES (NON-FEDERAL)

Purpose of Travel	Location	Computation							Cost
		Item	Cost Rate	Basis for Rate	Quantity	Number of People	Number of Trips	Cost	
		Lodging		Night				\$0.00	
		Meals		Day				\$0.00	
		Mileage		Mile				\$0.00	
		Transportation:							
				Round-trip				\$0.00	
		Local Travel						\$0.00	
		Other							
								\$0.00	
		Subtotal						\$0.00	
SUBTOTAL								\$0	
NON-FEDERAL TOTAL								\$0	

CONSULTANT EXPENSES NARRATIVE (NON-FEDERAL)

		TOTAL CONSULTANTS	\$0
--	--	-------------------	-----

Contracts: Provide a description of the product or service to be procured by contract and an estimate of the cost. Applicants are encouraged to promote free and open competition in awarding contracts. A separate justification must be provided for sole source contracts in excess of \$150,000. A sole source contract may not be awarded to a commercial organization that is ineligible to receive a direct award. Note: This budget category may include subawards.

CONTRACTS (FEDERAL)

Item		Cost
FEDERAL TOTAL		\$0

CONTRACTS NARRATIVE (FEDERAL)

CONTRACTS (NON-FEDERAL)

Item	Cost
NON-FEDERAL TOTAL	\$0

CONTRACTS NARRATIVE (NON-FEDERAL)

--

TOTAL CONTRACTS	\$0
TOTAL CONSULTANTS/CONTRACTS	\$0

H. **Other Costs** – List items (e.g., rent (arms-length transaction only), reproduction, telephone, janitorial or security services, and investigative or confidential funds) by major type and the basis of the computation. For example, provide the square footage and the cost per square foot for rent or provide a monthly rental cost and how many months to rent. The basis field is a text field to describe the quantity such as square footage, months, etc. [To View an Example, Click Here](#)

OTHER COSTS (FEDERAL)

Description	Computation				Cost
	Quantity	Basis	Cost	Length of Time	
					\$0
FEDERAL TOTAL					\$0

OTHER COSTS NARRATIVE (FEDERAL)

OTHER COSTS (NON-FEDERAL)

Description	Computation				Cost
	Quantity	Basis	Cost	Length of Time	
					\$0
NON-FEDERAL TOTAL					\$0

OTHER COSTS NARRATIVE (NON-FEDERAL)

TOTAL OTHER COSTS	\$0
-------------------	-----

I. **Indirect Costs** – Indirect costs are allowed if the applicant has a Federally approved indirect cost rate. A copy of the rate approval, (a fully executed, negotiated agreement), must be attached. If the applicant does not have an approved rate, one can be requested by contacting the applicant's cognizant Federal agency, or the applicant may elect to charge a de minimis rate of 10% of modified total direct costs as indicated in 2 CFR Part 200.414f. If the applicant's accounting system permits, costs may be allocated in the direct cost categories. (Use whole numbers as the indirect rate, an example is an indirect rate of 15.73% should be shown as 15.73) **To View an Example, Click Here**

INDIRECT COSTS (FEDERAL)

Description	Computation		Cost
	Base	Rate	
			\$0
FEDERAL TOTAL			\$0

INDIRECT COSTS NARRATIVE (FEDERAL)

INDIRECT COSTS (NON-FEDERAL)

Description	Computation		Cost
	Base	Rate	
			\$0
NON-FEDERAL TOTAL			\$0

INDIRECT COSTS NARRATIVE (NON-FEDERAL)

TOTAL INDIRECT COSTS	\$0
----------------------	-----

Budget Summary – When you have completed the budget worksheet, transfer the totals for each category to the spaces below. Compute the total direct costs and the total project costs. Indicate the amount of Federal funds requested and the amount of non-Federal funds that will support the project.

Budget Category	Federal Request	Non-Federal Amounts	Total
A. Personnel	\$36,540	\$0	\$36,540
B. Fringe Benefits	\$0	\$0	\$0
C. Travel	\$0	\$0	\$0
D. Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0
E. Supplies	\$0	\$0	\$0
F. Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0
G. Consultants/Contracts	\$0	\$0	\$0
H. Other	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total Direct Costs	\$36,540	\$0	\$36,540
I. Indirect Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL PROJECT COSTS	\$36,540	\$0	\$36,540

Federal Request	\$36,540
Non-Federal Amount	\$0
Total Project Cost	\$36,540

Public Reporting Burden

Paperwork Reduction Act Notice: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act, a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a current valid OMB control number. We try to create forms and instructions that are accurate, can be easily understood, and which impose the least possible burden on you to provide us with information. The estimated average time to complete and file this application is four (4) hours per application. If you have comments regarding the accuracy of this estimate, or suggestions for making this form simpler, you can write the Office of Justice Programs, Office of the Chief Financial Officer, 810 Seventh Street, NW, Washington, DC 20531; and to the Public Use Reports Project, 1121-0188, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Program Narrative

City of Norwalk

Problem: The Norwalk Police Department has an authorized strength of 179 officers and continues to strive to maintain full staffing levels. Half of the Patrol Division's 120 officers have 6 years or less police experience. In conjunction with being below strength the demand for police services remains high, stretching our manpower resources. Be it addressing issues as mundane as speeding, traffic violators, requests for foot patrols in neighborhoods, or the other extreme, gangs members shooting at each other or a motorcycle club conducting a "meeting" at a bar, the Norwalk Police Department sometimes has a problem delivering services in a timely manner and fails in meeting its commitment to the citizens and community to protect and keep them safe. With grant assistance, the Norwalk Police Department will be able to provide the services that the citizens of Norwalk expect and deserve.

Norwalk has 88,145 residents living in 22.86 square miles, ranking Norwalk the sixth largest city in the state by population. The population density is 3,865 per square mile, on average. The percentage of people identifying as white or Caucasian is 55.9%, as Hispanic or Latino 23.9%, as Black or African American 13.8% and as Asian 4.4%, with the balance identifying as more than one and "other." Norwalk has a higher minority population than the state average, with 24.9% of the population being foreign born. A significant portion of the minority populations reside in the urban areas of Norwalk, along the transportation corridors and in South Norwalk, though most neighborhoods reflect some diversity.

The percentage of adults who graduated high school or a higher level is 87.2% with 41.8% having obtained a bachelor's degree, both above the state average.

Median household income for Norwalk residents is \$80,382, substantially above the state average. In contrast, 8.9% of Norwalk's residents live below the poverty level. Historically, South Norwalk has been home to the most impoverished residents, with a high concentration of public housing, Section 8 recipients and

households with minimum wage earners. Within South Norwalk is the SONO District with high end restaurants and night clubs, upscale apartments and condominiums, which highlights some of the disparity within our community. The Norwalk Redevelopment Agency was recently the target of public outcry from the residents of South Norwalk when the agency referred to South Norwalk as a "blighted slum" in a grant application. One thing the residents do have is pride. South Norwalk was the recipient of a Justice Bureau Innovation Grant in FY 2016 to address the economic and crime related problems.

Project design and implementation:

The funding will be used primarily for High Visibility Patrols and Selective Enforcement Patrols in the core of South Norwalk, but not excluding the suburban areas. The area contains seven residential complexes managed by the Norwalk Housing Authority (which the Norwalk Police Department works with on a daily basis), two magnet schools, Norwalk's only homeless shelter, the South Norwalk Train Station and the Sono District, all within approximately two square miles. Most of the residential structures in this area are multi-family and low income. This border for this core area can be defined by Martin Luther King Drive on the west side, Ann Street to the north, Water Street on the east side and Meadow Street/Wilson Avenue on the south. Within this area is the majority of Norwalk's gang fighting and criminal activity.

There are two secondary, well defined and smaller areas of concern and focus. One, in the center of Norwalk, is School Street by itself where a small low income residential complex has a disproportionate amount of gang and violent crime. The second is Colonial Village, another NHA residential area which includes Suncrest Road and one block of West Cedar Street.

The Norwalk Housing Authority working closely with the Norwalk Police Department had eradicated gang members from the properties through eviction but that does not stop them from returning to the neighborhood and committing drug crimes and illegally using firearms to assault each other. The gang members seem to have an allegiance to where they were born but no longer live and carry

on turf wars for turf that isn't theirs. It is not uncommon to find that most of the gang members reside outside of Norwalk.

The Special Services street team is a select group of officers who share a desire and vision to remove guns from the street, diminish if not remove gang influence in the neighborhoods, maintain a working knowledge of the gang membership and determine their where-about and activity. The street team members are culled from the Patrol Division. They work 40 hours per week which includes every weekend and holiday. Grant funding would be used to supplement the team's hours for special events where gang activity is expected and following shootings, which is usually followed by retaliatory shootings. The grant will not be used to backfill for the officers.

The measurement of their success can be at two levels. The first is the seizure of illegal handguns or handguns and illegal drugs from convicted felons and gang members and arrests. Running cross checks of property seizures and officer identification numbers would make this a simple task. The narrative measurement would be based on the level of gang activity or lack thereof as reported by the street team and the Special Services supervisors.

The street team is a temporary assignment unit within Special Services that has been used in the past and has proven capable of addressing the issues. The team operates year round but expands and contracts as need requires. The officers are selected based on their strong work ethic, abilities to abide by the laws while taking enforcement action, and delivering positive results.

Problem: Traffic enforcement

The traffic enforcement aspect of the project does not have as well a defined area. The majority of traffic complaints come from citizens in residential neighborhoods that have a high number of young children, a school, playground or park nearby and the complaints seem to be seasonal, i.e. from the end of school year, through summer and into the start of the school year. This coincides with fewer officers for enforcement since they too have family and vacation in summer. The Norwalk Police Department has a small, two motorcycle traffic

enforcement unit that normally responds to traffic complaints with a focus on specific locations for a measured period of time, be it hours or a few days of consistent enforcement.

The State Department of Transportation has made grant funding available for enforcement of seat belt use, DUI and cell phone use, with very limited enforcement time and constraints. There are no grants to cover the expense of sending a team of officers out to send the same enforcement message to speeders.

Implementation of increased speed enforcement would focus on the end and start of school. The Community Services Division usually has media coverage to bring awareness of safe driving to the motoring public that coincides with "slow down days," the enforcement of speed limits in residential areas and around schools. The measure of success is the number of violators cited (infractions issued) and a reduction in the number of complaints. In the past the Norwalk Police Department has used several officers at multiple locations in the effort to protect the children from injury or death from speeding motorists with a significant impact on speeding.

Problem: Policing

All police departments have had to deal with the realization of budget limitations, cost saving measures and streamlining for efficiency. That being said a police department can't pick and choose what and when to respond to calls for service in their effort to limit overtime. The problem isn't that City Hall won't ante up at the end of the fiscal year. They will because they can't close the police department. The problem is having the discretionary funds available when need to satisfy the needs of the community and citizens.

The implementation would be limited to meet unforeseen spikes in crimes such as a crime spree of robberies or specific target crime such as burglarizing contractor vans at night, or an unexpected protest or civil unrest. It could be a scenario where a surveillance team may be used to determine a suspect, or an increase in foot patrol officers as a deterrent to crime. Basic policing strategies

but requiring supplemental manpower for unexpected incidents that the citizens would want addressed. Having the capability to expand police services when needed would lead to an increase in productivity. Measurement of success would vary depending upon the need.

Abstract

City of Norwalk

High Visibility and Selective Enforcement Patrol Project

Project Identifier: Traffic Enforcement

Problem: Increased calls for service has decreased the time for officers to enforce traffic laws, yet the major complaint to the Mayor, Chief and City Hall is traffic violations and a disregard for traffic safety and laws. The grant would allow for overtime hires of officers with the specific assignment of motor vehicle enforcement in areas with complaints.

Goal: To increase selective enforcement of motor vehicle laws to gain increased compliance to those laws, resulting in safer streets for pedestrians, motorists & bicyclists.

Project Identifier: Violent Crime

Problem: Despite a continuing and proactive effort by the Norwalk Police Department, in particular the Special Services Division there is a continued use of firearms, both legal and illegal in gang related shootings in Norwalk. The murder rate has declined but the number of assaults with handguns, gang retaliation and shots fired calls for service remains constant.

Goal: To increase police presence with High Visibility patrols and an identifiable street team in areas of both handgun presence and violence to specifically focus on the illegal use of handguns in Norwalk.

Project Identifier: Gangs

Problem: Norwalk saw a steady decline in the past decade of teenage gang members who were on the fringe of the Bloods and Crypts gangs. Gang members are being released into the community after serving prison sentences and many are returning into the gangs. The gangs in Norwalk continue to identify with and take their names from the geographical areas where they previously lived, or their

parents lived, despite having no current links to those neighborhoods anymore, such as "Money Green" or "MG" for the Meadow Gardens Housing Project or "261" for Roodner Court. This has created a major sense of fear in those neighborhoods that have been reclaimed by law abiding citizens and have not seen gang activity in a decade. The School Street project continues to have an inordinate number of "shots fired" calls and assaults with firearms.

Goal: To use the Special Services Street Team to focus on the gang members within the limits of the laws and at the same time provide uniformed officers from Patrol and Community Policing Unit to join with the citizens and neighborhood leaders to foster a closer working relationship with the same goal of maintaining a safe neighborhood and environment to live and work in.

Project Identifier: Crime Prevention

Problem: While it behooves a police department to address the major complaints, i.e. traffic, or the high profile violent crimes involving guns and gang members, there is the ever ongoing lower level crime being perpetrated that also needs addressing. While the day to day enforcement, prevention and investigation is covered by the annual operating budget with some overtime allowed for there comes times when added manpower is needed to address a spike or crime spree with specific a target. Norwalk has experienced in the past 2 years several spikes in motor vehicle burglary and currently there is an increase in residential burglaries. While many view these crimes as property crimes they do cause alarm and concern for the citizens and business. Both of the examples have occurred under the cover of darkness.

Goal: To have the capability of hiring teams of officers to conduct surveillance with the ability to react to and direct a response to any crime in progress.

Project Identifier: Policing

Problem: The Norwalk Police Department has not seen an increase in budget that reflects the increased demands of a police department in these challenging times.

Though Norwalk can pride itself on having a good reputation and is held in high regard by the citizens, those citizens still want to see a police presence, a cop on every corner so to speak. The Department makes every effort to work within the budget and to cut unnecessary costs. The Chief has to go before the Common Council, our governing body, on an annual basis to address the need for extra funding to cover overtime expenses. The Council authorized the 2016 overtime request to fulfill regular policing duties and responsibilities that could not be foreseen in the previous budget request process, but not without hesitation.

Goal: To continue policing in its most basic level, to protect and serve, when demand outweighs available manpower and dollars.



BJA FY 18 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation

2018-H3091-CT-DJ

[Application](#)[Correspondence](#)Application: [Review SF-424](#) [Print a Copy](#)[Application Handbook](#)[Overview](#)[Applicant Information](#)[Project Information](#)[Budget and Program Attachments](#)[Assurances and Certifications](#)[Review SF 424](#)[Submit Application](#)[Help/Frequently Asked Questions](#)[GMS Home](#)[Log Off](#)

APPLICATION FOR FEDERAL ASSISTANCE	2. DATE SUBMITTED July 25, 2018	Applicant Identifier				
1. TYPE OF SUBMISSION Application Non-Construction	3. DATE RECEIVED BY STATE	State Application Identifier				
	4. DATE RECEIVED BY FEDERAL AGENCY	Federal Identifier				
5. APPLICANT INFORMATION <table border="0"> <tr> <td>Legal Name City of Norwalk</td> <td>Organizational Unit Police Department</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Address One Monroe Street Norwalk, Connecticut 06854-3103</td> <td>Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application Hume, Bruce (203) 854-3007</td> </tr> </table>			Legal Name City of Norwalk	Organizational Unit Police Department	Address One Monroe Street Norwalk, Connecticut 06854-3103	Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application Hume, Bruce (203) 854-3007
Legal Name City of Norwalk	Organizational Unit Police Department					
Address One Monroe Street Norwalk, Connecticut 06854-3103	Name and telephone number of the person to be contacted on matters involving this application Hume, Bruce (203) 854-3007					
6. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (EIN) 06-6011881	7. TYPE OF APPLICANT Municipal					
8. TYPE OF APPLICATION New	9. NAME OF FEDERAL AGENCY Bureau of Justice Assistance					
10. CATALOG OF FEDERAL DOMESTIC ASSISTANCE NUMBER: 16.738 CFDA Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance TITLE: Grant Program	11. DESCRIPTIVE TITLE OF APPLICANT'S PROJECT Police High Visibility and Selective Enforcement Patrol Project. Officers will be hired on an overtime basis for increased foot, bike, and motor patrol in response to unanticipated and increased criminal activity. Officers will be hired to address criminal gang activity, with a focus on the illegal possession and use of firearms. For major events that draw large crowds to the city, officers will be hired for increased foot patrols to deter criminal activity such as the Norwalk Oyster Festival, Fireworks, NICE Festival and SONO Arts Festival.					
12. AREAS AFFECTED BY PROJECT The greater Norwalk area, incorporating both urban and suburban neighborhoods, city parks and beaches.						

13. PROPOSED PROJECT Start Date: October 01, 2018 End Date: September 30, 2022		14. CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICTS OF a. Applicant b. Project CT04
15. ESTIMATED FUNDING Federal \$36,609 Applicant \$0 State \$0 Local \$0 Other \$0 Program Income \$0 TOTAL \$36,609		16. IS APPLICATION SUBJECT TO REVIEW BY STATE EXECUTIVE ORDER 12372 PROCESS? Program has not been selected by state for review 17. IS THE APPLICANT DELINQUENT ON ANY FEDERAL DEBT? N
18. TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, ALL DATA IN THIS APPLICATION PREAPPLICATION ARE TRUE AND CORRECT, THE DOCUMENT HAS BEEN DULY AUTHORIZED BY GOVERNING BODY OF THE APPLICANT AND THE APPLICANT WILL COMPLY WITH THE ATTACHED ASSURANCES IF THE ASSISTANCE IS REQUIRED.		

Continue



**BJA FY 18 Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance
Grant (JAG) Program - Local Solicitation**

2018-H3091-CT-DJ



[Application](#)

[Correspondence](#)

Application: 

Application Handbook **Submit Application**

[Overview](#)

- Application submitted on 07/25/2018
- Application is currently in progress

[Applicant
Information](#)

[Project Information](#)

[Budget and
Program
Attachments](#)

[Assurances and
Certifications](#)

[Review SF 424](#)

[Submit Application](#)

[Help/Frequently
Asked Questions](#)

[GMS Home](#)

[Log Off](#)



TO: Members of the Health, Welfare and Public Safety Committee
FROM: Mary Oster, Early Childhood Coordinator
SUBJECT: Child Daycare Contract-Connecticut Office of Early Childhood
DATE: August 15, 2018

The Norwalk Common Council will be asked to authorize the Mayor to sign the Child Daycare Contract with the Connecticut Office of Early Childhood. The grant period is 7/1/2018-6/30/2020 in the amount of \$3,059,867.20.

This funding is used by approved subcontractors to provide high-quality Toddler and Preschool care to qualifying families in Norwalk. The programs are licensed by the State of Connecticut Office of Early Childhood Licensing Division and adhere to all the reporting and monitoring requirements within the grant. The Early Childhood Coordinator monitors for compliance and quality as required by the grant. The subcontractors are Maritime-Odyssey Preschool at Nathaniel Ely Center and Growing Seeds Child Development Center at Ben Franklin Center.

Actions to be taken:

1. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling, to enter into or amend contractual instruments in the name and on behalf of the City of Norwalk with the State of Connecticut Office of Early Childhood for the purpose of the Child Day Care program operated by the Connecticut Office of Early Childhood.
2. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling, to execute any and all documents related to Contract # 18OECCDC01NWK with the State of Connecticut Office of Early Childhood for the 2018-2020 Child Day Care Program to be funded by the Connecticut Office of Early Childhood.
3. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling, to execute subgrant agreements between the City of Norwalk and i) Stepping Stones Museum for Children, Inc., ii) Growing Seeds Too Child Development Center, Inc. at Ben Franklin Center, iii) Odyssey Learning, Inc. at Nathaniel Ely Center, and iv) Connecticut Institute for Communities, Inc., for funding, under the Child Day Care Program, for the provision of child care services in accordance with the office of Early Childhood Purchase of Service Contract # 18OECCDC01NWK.