

**CITY OF NORWALK
PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
June 4, 2019**

ATTENDANCE: John Igneri, Chairman; Thomas Livingston; Eloisa Melendez; Darlene Young; Doug Hempstead; George Tsiranides

STAFF: Anthony Carr, Chief of Operations and Public Works; Lisa Burns, Principal Engineer; Michael Yeosock, Assistant Director of TMP

OTHERS: Anthony Catalano, PE Woodard & Curran; David White, PE Woodard & Curran; Mary McCrann, AICP Woodard & Curran

The meeting was called to order at 7:03 p.m. A quorum was present.

Chairman John Igneri Suspended the Rules to allow Woodard & Curran to give a presentation for Item #3.

Mr. Carr gave a brief synopsis of the request for qualifications that went out for the Storm Drainage System Evaluation Project. He stated the Public Works Department is recommending the firm Woodard & Curran as the most qualified consultant for the Citywide flooding drainage analysis. They went through a rigorous interview process. Initially 14 applicants submitted proposals then it was shortlisted to four (4). After the interviews were conducted, the panel found Woodard & Curran had the most pertinent experience and would be the most beneficial to the City. He said that he had the opportunity to work with Woodard & Curran previously on a project in the City of White Plains on scope for Citywide Storm Sewer modeling. The Public Works staff recommends the approval of Woodard & Curran for \$350,000 to begin on-call services. The scope will be expanded over time. Mr. Carr introduced the firm Woodard & Curran. There are three (3) members from their project team to give a presentation to the Committee.

Anthony Catalano of Woodard & Curran began by introducing the project team. Mr. Catalano stated that he is the Senior Principal and Partner of Woodard & Curran, Dave White, Senior Principal and Mary McCrann leads their grant and funding team and is also the public engagement support that is provided to their municipal clients. He gave a brief overview and slide show presentation on Woodard & Curran's commitment to the City of Norwalk. He stated that he attended the listening session and felt it was important they attend and gain an understanding of what the residents were feeling about the issue.

He said they heard a lot of residents talk about the other study that was conducted but the residents seemed to really want to know when the actual work would be completed. He said he thinks that emphasizes the importance of coming up with practical and feasible solutions that are implementable and not just developing studies.

Firm Introduction

The company was founded in 1979. The founders would routinely join the meetings although they are retired now. The company was formed with 10 people and now they have over 1,000 employees. Woodard & Curran consider themselves not too big of a firm but also not too small meaning not too big that there is not enough attention being provided to the City and not too small because they have the resources to deliver across geographies and share resources. The overall mission is to provide a safe and fulfilling place to work, deliver high-quality services to their clients, always act in good faith and protect the environment. He said what makes them unique is that there are approximately 240 contract operated facilities across the US and waste water treatment plants. They also run a few municipalities, they are responsible for running DPW facilities in two (2) or three (3) municipalities in the Midwest and own compliance as well. They are committed to the City and one (1) example of that is caring and listening to the residents and also having two (2) senior partners at this meeting.

Project Understanding

Mr. White addressed the Committee about the challenges Norwalk is facing. He said that those challenges are not indifferent to other communities that they have worked with. He said that often times the residents do not have the opportunity to obtain flood insurance because the areas that are flooding are not on the flood maps. The only way to obtain flood insurance would be to go through private insurance which can be very expensive for homeowners. Woodard & Curran recognizes those risks. As a result of that, they feel it is important to engage with stakeholders, whether it is residents, businesses or the City. To better understand and characterize the risks and what to expect in the future with the intensity of rainfall and frequency, they look at the sea levels and recognizing the sensitivity of century old drainage systems and their conditions of operations. Some may be clogged and/or deteriorating. He said that the City is undergoing some extensive cleaning of those systems right now but recognizing that there is a sensitivity to that old infrastructure and understanding them and how it is operating in its future longevity often plays into the planning process and how to correct the problem. Ultimately when they do get to the project stage, they continue to consider the sensitivity of how the construction impacts with the noise, traffic, business construction, access to individual and business properties. Those are the common themes Woodard & Curran have dealt with. They also identify the risks relative to how frequently an area may or may not flood. The ability to predict that in the future and align that with available funding is critical to remedy those problems. Woodard & Curran defines stormwater into five (5) core focus areas; Conveyance & Flood Mitigation, Planning, Treatment and Reuse, Compliance and Coastal Infrastructure. Their core mission regarding stormwater is the annual cost of flood related natural disasters and recognizing that driving that is the new reality of more frequent major storms, extended droughts, sea level rise and historically atypical weather patterns. They recognize that it puts critical infrastructures at risk and communities have to find that balance to drive economic activity and minimize the damage to the residents and business communities.

Mr. Livingston asked if they would be looking at coastal flooding and rainwater flooding.

Mr. White responded yes, both inland and coastal. The other core practice they look at is water quality recognizing that stormwater continues to be a large portion source of water pollution which is one of the few sources of water pollution in the United States that continues to increase with the water sources and water bodies so there is a need to find an affordable practical solution to balance the quality related issues as well as the quantity of the flood related issues. Woodard & Curran has a collaborative team of engineers, planners, modeling experts and operations and maintenance specialists. He said listening and engaging with their clients, residents and businesses in the community is critical and valuable to understanding what has happened and helps them to predict what may occur in the future in developing a remedy. They also try to find State grants to help leverage funding to actually get the work done.

Ms. McCrann, also of Woodard & Curran, thanked the Committee for allowing them to be at the meeting as well as the residents for attending the meeting and giving their experiences. She said that they are providing a service to themselves, their neighbors and the City. She stated that it is especially a service to the City because they don't know where all the issues are and with the residents help they can do their best to rectify the problem. Woodard & Curran focuses on leveraging and existing information. The City of Norwalk has done a tremendous amount of work through recent contracts and past studies and they are understanding those studies and leveraging those studies. They are aware that there has been work done previously and they are communicating with the City what has taken place. She said all of that information is important and helps them to move forward. They work very closely with their clients to try to understand what the needs are and stay on top of funding opportunities for grants and help their clients potentially apply for funding. A lot of the funding opportunities are competitive and that is why they work so closely with their clients and stay informed on what is available. She said understanding what programs are out there helps them fit with what their clients are doing to fit into those programs. They have been very successful throughout New England securing millions of dollars for their clients.

Mr. Catalano continued with the key differentiators that Woodard & Curran offers to their clients. He reiterated their overall commitment and making decisions as they need to in a quick manner. He said they have the authority as partners in the organization to do so as well as allocating any resources they need to keep their commitment with the City. They have close communication with the City and the City's stakeholders and keeping them informed every step of the way. They will establish a project website that would be easily accessible to the City and the residents. The website will be password protected with opportunities to share information and being able to document the progress of the work being done, in regards to technical excellence with stormwater, design, modeling and GIS experts. They have an internal team of GIS experts and a number of them are engineers as well. These experts understand what they are reading and laying out as engineers. Flood hazard risk mitigation plans is also something they help clients with for communities and are in touch with those needs. He said that they have a tested, proven modeling delivery approach. There are consultants and operations experts on their team.

Mr. White gave an example of a project their company completed. He spoke about a \$6M improvement project to Kincaide Park in Massachusetts. The park designer only focused on site drainage pre and post discharge rates and found that that the neighboring houses would routinely

get flooded by 5ft. in depth in those areas. The City asked them to look at the drainage for the park but also those areas that would continuously flood as well. They used a Town Brook

Watershed Model to determine the risks. They simulated a storm to see those risks and developed short-term and long-term solutions which ultimately became a \$14M project. This was not in the City's capital budget so they began assisting them with identifying possible funding.

Mr. Livingston asked about a time table of the project assuming it would be approved.

Mr. Catalano responded that they have laid out a scope of work for the development of a work plan. He said it means they are going to look at the sequence of the work because not all of the properties can be looked at once. He said that they would be looked at on a risk based perspective standpoint to develop the matrix and understand which would be phase I. Once that road map is laid out they will initiate phase II which is the implementation phase to study those specific areas. The scope of work to completing those things will be once they have the green light and discuss the timing of that.

Mr. Carr agreed saying that they discussed this at the forum and at prior meetings that they are working backwards to the December 1st date. The reason for the December 1st date is so the staff could discuss what capital improvements they would like to recommend for the upcoming year and those capital improvement worksheets need to be submitted by January 1st. By December 1st they should have a draft completed that has been vetted between City staff and the contract which would be used to incorporate and guide the capital improvement over the next five (5) years.

Mr. Catalano said they would expect that December 1st would not be the first time the City sees some level of work product. There will be some working meetings between the City and the team.

Mr. Carr said that once a month there will be a public forum.

Mr. Livingston suggested that they begin with the areas that have been identified.

Mr. Carr agreed and said that they have data from residents and Customer Service complaints that will be handed over to the consultants. They are working on plan development with the stakeholders' involvement. They will direct the consultants on the areas that should be focused on while working together for plan development.

Mr. Catalano agreed.

Ms. Burns said that the contract is designed to be an on-call contract so if a particular area that rises to be identified as high risk, they would issue task orders and the price would be negotiated. She said that is how they have done it with the project with Jacobs that they are wrapping up with the outreach and conservation permitting and task force with WPCA. They would like to bring Woodard & Curran on as soon as possible and they just had the public listening session last week so they are still digesting that information and they would like to be able to include all of that input into whatever scope we develop. One thing that was heard a lot and may need more

June 4, 2019

Public Works Committee

Page 4 of 13

focus is the planning piece to ensure all departments are communicating. The reality and perception of people believe that a lot of the flooding is based on the developments that have occurred. Residents have good ideas that they could incorporate and work with.

Mr. Livingston asked if the consultants would look at the 25 year flooding requirement.

Mr. Catalano replied yes based on experience and other projects they have worked on. He said it is ultimately the City's decision but they would provide recommendation and thoughts on that.

Mr. Hempstead said that he is missing something since there is no scope of work in the sense of here are the projects and the dollar amount and this is not a bid, this is just a request for qualifications.

Ms. Burns replied yes. She said that is the reason for sending out the packet as early as possible so the committee members would have a chance to review.

Mr. Hempstead said the sample letter is confusing.

Mr. Carr said that the general scope would be for the on-call flood evaluation drainage study to the assigned \$350,000 not to exceed. He said once it is authorized and they go into an agreement contract, then they will sit down and develop the individual areas which they would be part of task orders.

Mr. Hempstead asked if the \$350,000 is for this task.

Ms. Burns replied for services that are on-going coming out of the stormwater and capital funds.

Mr. Carr said it says on-call services due to the timing and the complexity of the problem areas, they didn't want to assign any problem areas yet. He said they wanted to sit down with the consultant and give them the hot list/priority list and contract them out prior to providing that detailed list so the scope will not change from what you find in the RFQ.

Mr. Hempstead asked if the full council would receive the dollar amount and all pertinent information prior to the approval stage. He also asked if there was a priority list.

Ms. Burns replied that they have a general idea but felt it may have been premature to put that into the packet just having the public listening session last week while they are still getting new information and people are still submitting things. She said they didn't want to make a list without all of the input. Basically, an amount was used that would go beyond December 1st and there will still be funding.

Mr. Carr said that they would most likely come back to the Committee with amendments and this is just to get things started.

Ms. Burns said that the scope will be negotiated.

Mr. Igneri went over the process stating if the Public Works Committee approves Item #3 tonight then on Tuesday it is heard before the Council and then approved, a few weeks for an agreement has been established and then they can begin in July.

Mr. Carr said that all of the consultants were aware of the timeline.

The group began to discuss the effects of a 25 year storm within a community with drainage issues. They discussed costs, public outreach and managing that message. This effort may be looked at from a risk based perspective so they can sequence the work that needs to be done. This project requires a surveyor which they have hired WSP, in case there is additional survey information needed to supplemental what the City already has in place. Phase I is the work plan to establish the risk based assessment and concludes with public meetings to articulate how they came up with the work plan. Phase II will be working on the road map that has been developed. They may develop a steering committee and/or develop a risk matrix.

Public Works Committee Meeting Officially opened at 7:53p.m.

PUBLIC INPUT

Ms. Jody Proct of 1 Naramake Avenue, off of Woodward Avenue, stated that she would like more information on the pump station and when the priority list for the storm drainage would be made available to the public.

Mr. Carr responded the priority list should be completed within six (6) to eight (8) weeks. He would like to review the list with staff and the consultants before providing the list to the public.

Ms. Diane Lauricella of 49 Taylor Avenue, stated that she was pleased to hear from Woodard & Curran. She said she established and is the founder of the North River Watershed Association from the mid 90's, she is very happy Woodard & Curran are looking at a holistic approach which is helpful with modeling. She is interested and doesn't mind certain privatizations of certain processes in government but would like to see where there would be savings and not filling staff positions for future tax payer relief. Very pleased to hear Woodard & Curran speak about green infrastructure. Would like to make a big effort this time like they did in Bridgeport when she was on the Rebuild by Design Team and The CT Green Building Council where she was a partner. She wants to ensure water quality is looked at. She mentioned Item #5 on the agenda and asked why allow an area to be paved that may be chewed up and develop in a year or so. Very pleased about Woodard & Curran talking about the role of the public and appreciates the listening session that occurred last week. A more proactive approach would be better than waiting for the public to be the trigger. She said there are long-term sites that continuously have problems and would like to see that list so they can be checked without the public begin triggered. She thinks it is great that staff is trying to be responsive but she is sure that staff knows about some of the sites and could check on them as regular maintenance. Enforcement has to be part of the plan because people are dumping into storm drains and should be made an example of in the press. The Walk Bridge – Lockwood Mathews Mansion Fence is very expensive and doesn't feel that is the best way to spend all of that money and would like to know if other avenues have been explored. The Eversource High Voltage Line is a concern. She is on the Water Quality Commission and the Shellfish Commission and Harbor Management

Commission doesn't feel heard. She said that Eversource needs them so they have a lot more leverage to get them to do what they want. She would like to challenge the solid waste program with a July 4th recycling challenge since there is a huge fireworks display at Calf Pasture Beach. She said when Mr. Chimento began she approached him, spending a lot of hours trying to put together a recycling plan and it was a bust

because it wasn't planned well. She said that she approached the Mayor, Laoise King and Anthony Carr to get started this month to have some kind of recycling water bottles.

Bunny Scot has been in Norwalk a longtime, lived on Drum Road in Rowayton which is the highest spot in the area but there was underground water there. Neighbors had pumps but they did not. She said that the old crawl house which is historic had pumps in the basement. She now lives on Knollwood Road and her yard backs into Rowayton Woods. She said there is a tremendous water problem over there and maybe no one complained and that is why no one knows but they are pumping water out of their basement and down into the road. She said almost all of the houses in that area have water in their homes. She believes it may be an aquifer or something there carrying water. She said there are all of these kind of problems all over town.

NEW BUSINESS

1. APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING OF TUESDAY, MAY 7, 2019.

There was no discussion on this item.

****MR. LIVINGSTON MOVED THE ITEM
MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY

REQUESTED BY TRAFFIC, MOBILITY AND PARKING:

2. AUTHORIZE THE PURCHASING AGENT TO ISSUE A PURCHASE ORDER TO ALTEC INDUSTRIES, INC. FOR THE PURCHASE OF AN AT37G BUCKET TRUCK FOR A SUM NOT TO EXCEED \$125,500.00.

ACCOUNT NO. 09 20 4021 C0650

Mr. Livingston asked why the need for a new bucket truck.

Mr. Yeosock responded to replace the 20 year old bucket truck they currently have. He said it is used for traffic signals and replacements.

Mr. Carr added that they coordinated this effort with the DPW Garage.

****MR. IGNERI MOVED THE ITEM
MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY

3. AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, HARRY W. RILLING TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH WOODARD & CURRAN IN THE AMOUNT OF \$350,000 TO PROVIDE ON-CALL PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR

**THE STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM EVALUATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH
SCOPE OF SERVICES INCLUDED QUALIFICATIONS PROPOSAL DATED IN
THE MAY 3, 2019 (COPY OF INCLUDED).**

**ACCOUNT NO. 09 13 4027 5777 C0425
 09 16 4027 5777 C0425
 09 19 4027 5777 C0425**

Ms. Young asked for a timeframe of the contract.

Mr. Carr said it would be an ongoing effort but once the \$350,000 has exceeded they will have to put in amendments if they are still needed.

Ms. Burns stated that a good amount of the funds would be spent by December 1st so there would be a good planning document and budgeting in time for the New Year capital budget.

Mr. Livingston asked if the amount would be enough to complete the project in all areas.

Mr. Carr said that it is a good start. \$350,000 would buy a good size drainage study. That is the reason it is on-call and the committee will see the amendments as they come. They didn't want to start too high so they could leave some for contingency and construction.

Ms. Burns responded that they can advance in different levels of effort.

Mr. Carr added that it is an on-call effort with a blanket scope right now. They are working on narrowing the scope of work.

****MR. TSIRANIDES MOVED THE ITEM
MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY

**4. AUTHORIZE THE CITY TO ESTABLISH AN ACCOUNT FOR THE PAVING
OF OAK HILLS PARK GOLF COURSE – CART PATH, UNDER PROJECT
PM2019-1 PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, ON BEHALF OF
RECREATION AND PARKS.**

ACCOUNT NO. 09 18 6030 5777 C0588

Mr. Livingston said that he does not understand the language.

Mr. Carr said it is a different account. He explained that the work scope was identified from the golf course that would be incorporated under DPW paving program but not their budget, they are going to use a different account code

Ms. Burns said they went out to bid in March for the paving program and it included the Oak Hills Golf Course and when they went to the Council for authorization they didn't have Oak Hills account number so it was encumbered under Public Works account numbers. The account

number is available so they would like to release those funds from Public Works to reflect the right account.

Mr. Livingston asked if this could be done through the Finance Department.

Ms. Burns responded no. She spoke with Corporation Counsel and was instructed to go through this process.

Mr. Hempstead asked who is paying for it.

Mr. Carr responded Oak Hills but at the time they didn't have an account number.

Mr. Hempstead asked if there was a reason they didn't word it that way.

Mr. Livingston added that it doesn't say that there is any work being done just an account being set up.

Mr. Igneri said they need the authorization to move the money.

Mr. Livingston said he is used to the wording stating the work being done and the accounts but this doesn't say anything about the work being done.

Ms. Burns stated that the work was authorized at the last meeting under the Public Works account number and it was bid out and awarded in March.

Mr. Igneri asked why Public Works would have to bid it out if Oak Hills is paying for it.

Ms. Burns said they bid it on their behalf.

Mr. Carr said it typically goes through Public Works because it is their contracts.

Mr. Livingston didn't understand why this couldn't be done internally.

Ms. Burns replied that they need authorization to unencumber/encumber funds.

****MR. IGNERI MOVED THE ITEM
MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY

- 5. AUTHORIZE THE CITY TO ESTABLISH ACCOUNTS FOR THE PAVING OF MAIN STREET PARKING LOT AND YANKEE DOODLE GARAGE BURNELL BLVD. ENTRANCE, UNDER PROJECT PM 2019-1 PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, ON BEHALF OF THE NORWALK PARKING AUTHORITY.**

**ACCOUNT NO. 09 18 4095 5777 C0303
 09 19 4095 5777 C0303**

There was no discussion on this item.

****MR.IGNERI MOVED THE ITEM
MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY

- 6. AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, HARRY W. RILLING, TO EXECUTE AN AMENDMENT TO PROJECT PM2019-1 PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM, FOR MISCELLANEOUS BOARD OF EDUCATION WORK FOR A SUM NOT TO EXCEED \$350,000.**

**ACCOUNT NO. 09 19 5010 5777 C0516
09 20 5010 5777 C0516**

Ms. Burns said that they added Nathan Hale to the scope of work.

Mr. Carr said at the time they did not have the information.

****MR.IGNERI MOVED THE ITEM
MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY

Information / Discussion

**A. Discussion
1. Drainage**

- Town Hall – Drainage Meeting
- Dredging Contract Status
- Conservation Permit Public Hearing June 11, 2019

Mr. Carr reported on this.

Town Hall – Drainage Meeting

There were approximately 50 residents attended and it was very successful. There was a lot of good input. At least two (2) articles published in the paper. Would like to continue to have those types of meetings.

Mr. Livingston commended the staff for a job well done in terms of listening to the resident concerns and addressing them in an appropriate way.

Mr. Carr added that the Consultant was there but they did not inform the public because at the time they were not in contract with the Consultants so they just conducted the Q & A.

Dredging Contract Status

He said they thought they would have the five (5) locations for the Dredging Contract secured but the Conservation Commission wants to have a public hearing at their June 11th meeting. The bids are not back yet from the dredging contract so this will not impact the start of work. Work should begin in July.

Conservation Permit Public Hearing

The Conservation permits public hearing for those five (5) locations are scheduled for June 11, 2019.

2. Walk Bridge Status Update

- Lockwood Matthews Mansion Fence
- Howard / Fordham Fence
- 90% Design Schedule
- Eversoure High Voltage Line
- Aquarium Functional Replacement

Ms. Burns reported on this.

Lockwood Matthews Mansion Fence

Lockwood Matthews Mansion Fence is part of the historic mitigation agreement between the State and the City regarding the loss of historic elements for the Walk Bridge Project. She is working on that with the people from the Lockwood Matthews Mansion and going out to bid for construction next year.

Howard/Fordham Fence

Part of the Walk Bridge Contract is CP243 and Danbury Dockyard and the Danbury Dockyard is the Danbury line work going from the Washington Bridge up past the Mall towards the transfer station. It basically will add tracks so that trains can turn without having to go over the bridge. CP243 is getting out towards Saugatuck toward Westport where they will interlock, the ability to be able to switch trains between the different tracks, that is a project that is ongoing and needs to be done in advance to the Walk Bridge construction. That projects has more of a neighborhood impact because the residents had a lot of trees and now that buffer is not there anymore and it has been day and night operations. There has been a lot of drilling rock at night. They have been meeting about it and they are trying to get a neighborhood meeting to show the potential of a vinyl fencing about 8 feet up but there are some concerns.

Mr. Livingston asked if it would be permanent fencing.

Ms. Burns replied yes.

Ms. Young asked if this was in East Norwalk.

Ms. Burns replied yes.

Mr. Tsiranides asked if they are close to being done.

Ms. Burns responded that once they are done on the South Side there is a considerable amount of work to be completed on the North Side. The work can only be done when there is no train traffic and some of the work has limited windows. This is not something that DOT does and made it very clear that they are not setting a precedent.

Mr. Hempstead asked if they have established a timeline for how long they figure that work to continue and have the neighbors been notified.

Ms. Burns responded yes. They have weekly outreach and flyers that go out as well. They have also discussed neighborhood meetings to inform the residents. The drilling is not going to go on for another year but it is continuing through at least July.

90% Design Schedule

The 90% Design Schedule for the overall Walk Program, meaning the bridges that include Walk itself: North Water, Fort Point, Osbourne and East including the East Road Reconstruction Project and the utility relocation is tracking later than anticipated. It was supposed to be 90% completion

in April and now the latest date is August completion. It will not be seen in August because it will take some time for the designers to simulate all of it together. The City is part of the design process because of the East Avenue Road Reconstruction Project. This may delay other issues. There needs to be another update meeting.

Eversoure High Voltage Line

Eversoure reached out to them and gave them an update. They are currently going back and reviewing some of the comments they received about alternative routing so that may be explored. There is still tracking on the construction work the schedule they previously provided. They are still planning to file at the Siting Council the fourth quarter of 2019 as well as their environmental permits and begin construction the second quarter of 2020 and end construction that same quarter with complete restoration by the third quarter of 2020.

Aquarium Functional Replacement

Ms. Burns spent a lot of time at the Aquarium weekly meetings with Alan Lo and Vanessa Valadares. Tomorrow they should receive a revised design development document for the new program which is a reduced program. They should have the initial cost estimate based on that tomorrow. The goal is to go out to bid on the project late Summer or early Fall. She has given 45 hours thus far on meetings alone.

Mr. Igneri suggested the next two (2) Discussion Items be suspended till the next meeting.

Mr. Hempstead made a comment that they are now checking at the transfer station for tags and was pleased to know that they are.

B. Customer Service Report May 2019

There was no discussion on this item. Item was suspended to the next meeting.

C. Monthly Solid Waste Report – April 2019

1. 2019 Bulky Collections through May 2019.

There was no discussion on this item. Item was suspended to the next meeting.

UPCOMING PROJECTS

NEXT MEETING: Tuesday, July 2, 2019
Public Works Committee Meeting
7:00 P.M. Room 231, Norwalk City Hall

****MR. TSIRANIDES MOVED TO ADJOURN**
****MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

There was no further business and the meeting was unanimously adjourned at 8:35 p.m.