CITY OF NORWALK
Norwalk City Hall
125 East Avenue, PO Box 5125
Room 225
Norwalk, CT 06856-5125
P: 203-854-7791 / F: 203-857-0143

NORWALK
Public Works

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE of the COMMON COUNCIL

DATE:	Tuesday, May 7, 2019
TIME:	7:00 P.M.
PLACE:	Norwalk City Hall, 2nd Floor, Room 225

AGENDA

Public Input

Public input (Guests at Committee meetings may speak to any item on the agenda. Comments shall be limited to no more than three (3) minutes per speaker.)

New Business

1. Approve the Minutes of the Public Works Committee Meeting of Tuesday, April 2, 2019.

2. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling, to execute a Pole Attachment Agreement with The Southern New England Telephone Company (d/b/a Frontier Communications), and any and all documents necessary for the purpose of governing use of the City's municipal gain rights on Frontier Communications' utility poles for a sum not to exceed $10,000.

   Account No. 09 14 4021 5777 C0232

3. Authorize the Mayor, Harry W. Rilling, to enter into an Agreement with the Third Taxing District for reimbursement of engineering design services related to East Avenue Road Reconstruction Utility Relocation (Project #102-297) for an amount not to exceed $115,000.00.

   Account No. 09 12 4021 5777 C0471

4. Schedule a Public Hearing of Necessity for the abandonment/discontinuance of a portion of East Avenue at the south end of the Green to incorporate the traffic island into the Green property owned by the First Taxing District. The Public Hearing of

Necessity shall be conducted in order to hear all parties interested in commenting on the necessity of the proposed abandonment and to take such action on said application for abandonment that the Committee may deem advisable. The Public Hearing is scheduled for June 4, Public Works Committee Meeting at 7:00 P.M. in Room 225, 2nd floor in Norwalk City Hall, 125 East Avenue, Norwalk, CT.

Information / Discussion

A. Discussion
   1. Flooding/Drainage Activities
      ;\
      a. Stormwater Public Listening/Town Hall Session
         • May 28, 2019 at 6:00pm in City Hall, Community Room
      b. Request for Qualifications Storm Drainage System Evaluation
      c. Dredging Contract/Permitting
      d. County Street Update
      e. Williams Street Update
   2. Rowayton Pond Dam Update
   3. Highland/Wilson Sidewalk Status

B. Customer Service Report April 2019

C. Monthly Solid Waste Report – March 2019
   1. 2019 Bulky Collection Report
   2. 2019 Municipal Transfer Station – 1st Quarter Report

Upcoming Projects

Next Meeting: Tuesday, June 4, 2019
Public Works Committee Meeting
7:00 P.M. Room 225, Norwalk City Hall

CITY OF NORWALK
Norwalk City Hall
125 East Avenue, PO Box 5125
Room 225
Norwalk, CT 06856-5125
P: 203-854-7791 / F: 203-857-0143

CITY OF NORWALK
PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE
April 2, 2019

ATTENDANCE: John Igneri, Chairman; Thomas Livingston; Eloisa Melendez; Darlene Young

STAFF: Anthony Carr, Chief of Operations and Public Works; Lisa Burns, Principal Engineer; Christopher Torre, Superintendent of Operations

OTHERS: Nick Sacchinelli, Chairman Health, Welfare & Public Safety; Angelo Dipasquale; Lou D'Acunto; Diane Lauricella; Rocco Chiapetta; Matt Scully; Anthony Tomasino; Judy Proct

The meeting was called to order at 7:01 p.m. A quorum was present.

Mr. Igneri introduced Anthony Carr as the new Chief of Operations and Public Works. He said that Mr. Carr has been with Public Works for 3 weeks and everyone has been impressed by him and welcomed him to the City of Norwalk. He explained to the public that the drainage update will be a discussion only and not something the Committee will be voting on.

PUBLIC INPUT

Mr. Igneri welcomed everyone and went over the public input item on the agenda. He informed all of those signed up to speak that they have three (3) minutes and will received a 30 second warning of when their time is up. He asked that everyone be respectful of the speaker and not call out any comments. He introduced the first speaker.

Mr. Matt Scully of 31 County Street purchased his home in 2009. The first six (6) years were great but the last four (4) years have been an issue when it rains. Every time it rains it floods. He also has a health concern about the flooding because whenever it rains the overflow from the pond goes into his backyard then into the driveway and then the basement and he has to pump the rain water out and wait for it to dry before he scrubs the basement with bleach. He is asking for assistance with the flooding and health issue and would like the Committee to take a look at Andrew's Field as well.

Mr. Anthony Tomasino of 28 County Street stated in the past year it has gone from being a nuisance to being a hazard. The last storm in September caused the entire pond to flood causing the water from the pond to come through his basement doors. When it is not raining during the winter it is still a problem because it freezes the driveway and when it does rain, water bubbles up like a natural spring in his driveway. His driveway always has a wet patch and when it freezes the size of the patch is very large. There is no drainage out of the pond whatsoever. His backyard is submerged in water. The only drainage he sees for the pond has been obscured and 90% is clogged. He informed Public Works last year about this problem and has not seen any work on it yet although one person walked down the driveway and told him that he did buy his property next to a wetland. He continues to call DPW about this issue. He said that part of the parking lot in that area is also submerged in water. He is asking for help to address this problem.

Ms. Diane Lauricella thanked the Committee for having the meeting in Room 231. She stated she wanted to talk about the three (3) discussion items, especially the drainage issue. She urged Mr. Carr to look at a comprehensive approach and not just focus on Andrews Field. She asked that they explore true green infrastructure like New Haven to be one of the ways to combat and do preventive work using vegetative buffers instead of pipes in the ground to reduce flooding. She recalled that the Flood and Erosion Control Board was added to their responsibility to focus on those issues and urged and recommended they have a separate board for this because they are so busy with other responsibilities and without focus you could miss out on grants. She said that the DEEP has grants and the federal government has grants as well on green infrastructure. She spoke about Customer Service and how it is primarily used for customer complaints to find out where the issues are. She agreed with Ms. Melendez and others that said they should look at other means to search for problem areas. She said that many citizens do not know that the Customer Service number exists. Ms. Lauricella said the time has come with the new reorganization to find and communicate through social media as well as the press and other means to ensure people understand so the focus is not based on how many Customer Service calls are made. She used the sewer treatment plant and the odors that come out of it as an example saying that Ms. Burns and others have said that it must not be a problem because they are not receiving many calls. She believes Customer Service hasn't received many calls regarding that because they didn't know the number existed. She asked the Committee to not just use that as the only tool. The last issue she spoke about was the solid waste report stating that the tonnage has reduced by 50% and she follows the reports. She said that she is a new statewide board member of Recycle CT where she can offer access to many grants for the City and she will make the Mayor aware of that as well.

Mr. Angelo DiPasquale of 7 Saddle Road said that he has spoken to the Public Works Committee, DPW and other boards in the past about this issue. He welcomed Mr. Carr and wished him luck. He said that he hopes Mr. Carr could help them as the last two (2) directors could not. He has lived there for eight (8) years and his house flooded after the first few weeks. The storm water would come in as feet and not inches. He has storm water and sewage and it is an environmental and health issue. When it floods, his house becomes an island of storm water and sewage water. He is asking for a commitment to assist with the problem and not just putting a band aid on it.

Mr. Rocco Chiappetta of 19 Surrey Drive purchased his home in 1993 and there wasn't a flooding issue until 2006 and it is increasingly getting worse and has flooded at least five (5) times since then. The September 25, 2018 storm was by far the worse with seven (7) inches of rain and two (2) inches of water in the first 22 minutes. The September 25th storm left two (2) feet of water in

his backyard and garage. He said it cost him $30,000 in damages to the lower level of his home and he lost a 2016 Ford Escape. He was told by his insurance company that those damages were not covered because it was an act of GOD. He mentioned the 2015 study by Milone & MacBroom and the recommendations they made and that there was $1,000,000 that was put in the capital budget but nothing has been done to date. He said he received a letter from the Director that the issue is with his personal drainage system. He passed around pictures of the flooding. He asked the Committee to find the blockage. The storm drains along Surrey Drive were also flooded and at least 7 to 10 homes were damaged. There are mold and sewage concerns. He said the pressure from the flooding made the manhole covers pop up. Mr. Chiappetta said he was informed of the new Jacobs study but no one has come around to interview him or his neighbors and they are the people that know what the problems are. He said he is asking for the Committee's help.

Ms. Judy Proct of 14 Naramake Avenue, off of Woodward Avenue, passed out pictures of the flooding on Woodward Avenue. She has lived in Norwalk for 30 years and been in her house for five (5) years. Her house is on Naramake directly between Woodward Avenue and the Sound. When it rains the water is coming from Woodward Avenue and not the Sound. She said that when it floods the water on the WB Mason property could stay there for weeks and that is a health issue. Neighbors have told her that this flooding has not been an issue until the last five (5) to 10 years. The cars cannot get by in three (3) neighborhoods (Village Creek, Harbor Shores and Harbor View). The water bubbles up from the sewers. She asked the Committee to fix the sewers to allow the water to come through and not come into their homes.

Mr. Louis D'Acunto of 13 Saddle Road purchased his home in 1992. When he first moved in, he realized that the houses on his side of the road was built over a swamp area and the builders were allowed to build houses there and put in drainage pipes that ultimately the City would be responsible for once the last house was sold. In 2008 the City replaced piping behind their houses and cleared up a big problem where there was water standing behind the houses. He said Mr. Alvord knew of the problem areas and would have someone go out and fix them then when Mr. Chimento became director he had someone go out and clean the pipes. There seems to be an issue that Milone and MacBroom addressed, there doesn't seem to be a passage for water through Friendly Pond. He said that Friendly Pond was to keep drainage of their flat area at bay and now it doesn't hold any water because it is full of trees and dirt and hasn't been kept cleaned. He feels that DPW should focus their attention on this area and get the water out of there.

Mr. Igneri thanked the speakers and closed the public input at 7:28p.m. He addressed the Public stating that they are being heard and they are diligently trying to rectify the issue without the band aid approach. He wanted everyone to know that they are working towards a solution and will have meetings set up to discuss those areas. He then officially opened the agenda with new business.

NEW BUSINESS

1. APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE MEETING OF TUESDAY, MARCH 5, 2019.

There was no discussion on this item.

****MS. MELENDEZ MOVED THE ITEM**

****MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

2. **AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, HARRY W. RILLING, TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY OF NORWALK AND THE OWNER OF THE PROPERTY IDENTIFIED AS #7 MARGARET STREET, NORWALK, CT. 06851 (ANGELA V. AKHUNDZADEH), TO ESTABLISH A RIGHT OF ENTRY, FOR THE PURPOSES OF RE-SIZING THE PIPE, OF THE EXISTING STORM OUTLET FOR MAINTENANCE PURPOSES AND MEETING EXISTING UPSTREAM FLOW, SUBJECT TO SECTION 8-24 OF THE STATE STATUTES, IF REQUIRED.**

Mr. Livingston wanted to know what this item is all about.

Mr. Carr responded that the existing sewer is a City owned storm sewer does not have an easement. It has been identified as not having sufficient capacity to convey storm water from upstream to downstream points. The Rights of Way are going to be established on this property to authorize the City to replace the pipe and make it bigger and perform all of the site work and restoration on the driveway and return it to the existing condition. This is to authorize the City to perform that work.

Mr. Livingston asked if the City has to pay anything for the Right of Entry and if this would help with the flooding issue.

Ms. Burns responded no they are not required to pay anything for the Right of Entry and it may help with the flooding issue. She said they are working with Corporation Counsel because some of the areas in the City, especially the storm drainage system, that do not have easements on them and this is one of the areas that has the least amount of records. In this case and when the resolution was written they were unsure if they needed an 8-24 for every single one of those. It was later learned by Planning and Zoning that an 8-24 is not required for this process and there is no compensation on this project and the property owner can terminate at any time. She showed a map of the Friendly Pond area and explained it to the Committee. She stated after the big three (3) storms they had last year there was an all hands on deck meeting to identify all the flooding areas in the City and some are repeats. They have identified that the Margaret Drive Area there are a lot of pipes that comes into it and the neighborhood floods out and go through down the driveway of 7 Margaret Drive. This has been an ongoing maintenance problem for DPW Operations. They also identified and completed an analysis of all of the pipe sizes that come into that one pipe and they believe if they make that pipe bigger it would convey the water that is causing the street flooding.

Mr. Carr said that it is acting as a bottleneck now. It is basically a set of pipes in one (1) location upstream, a set of pipes downstream and a pipe in the middle.

Mr. Livingston asked when this would be accomplished.

Ms. Burns responded it would be done out of the paving contract. The paving contract was awarded at the last meeting and it is part of the whole package with drainage and paving.

Ms. Young asked if she knew the cost.

Ms. Burns responded that there is no cost for the right of entry but the other costs are broken out separately in the paving contract.

Ms. Young reiterated that the 8-24 was not needed.

Ms. Burns confirmed that the 8-24 is not needed.

****MR. LIVINGSTON MOVED THE ITEM**
****MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

3a. AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, HARRY W. RILLING, TO EXECUTE AN AGREEMENT WITH DEERING CONSTRUCTION, INC. FOR PROJECT PM2019-2 PERMANENT PAVEMENT REPAIRS & SURFACE RESTORATION FOR A SUM NOT TO EXCEED $387,875.00.

Account No. 03 00 00 2602
09 18 6030 5777 C0588

3b. AUTHORIZE THE CHIEF OF OPERATION & PUBLIC WORKS TO EXECUTE ORDERS ON THE CONTRACT WITH DEERING CONSTRUCTION, INC. FOR PROJECT PM2019-2 PERMANENT PAVEMENT REPAIRS & SURFACE RESTORATION FOR A SUM NOT TO EXCEED $38,787.50.

Account No. 03 00 00 2602
09 18 6030 5777 C0588

Ms. Melendez asked if this is in addition to the paving contract that was awarded at the last meeting.

Ms. Burns responded that it is a separate activity that is contracted out every year for permanent patching and restoration work done by any contractors in the Right of Way. This program has been around for 30 years and is self-funded, so the revenues that are collected are used to pay for this work. She gave an example of how it is self-funded stating that if you were to work on your home and you hire a contractor to do said work and they need to dig in the road then patch it up, they are required to take out a permit for road restoration fees and supply a bond for that work which is held for two (2) years and they have to maintain the patch during that time period and if they do not, the bond can be pulled. The pavement restoration fee is based on the pavement condition index (age of the road).

Mr. Carr said that the better condition the pavement is in the more you would have to pay because essentially you don't want to cut into or open a new road.

Ms. Burns said that their contractor goes out to make the permanent repairs.

Ms. Young asked if this cost is the actual amount of funds they received from the contractors.

Ms. Burns replied yes, the 03 account is a revenue account.

Ms. Young asked if this project would deplete the account.

Ms. Burns responded no it would not deplete the account. The account has about $900,000.00 in the account right now.

Mr. Carr added that is the contractor's bid price.

Ms. Burns said the backup is included in the packet.

Mr. Livingston said there was only one (1) bidder.

Ms. Burns responded yes.

Mr. Carr said that it is good to have these types of contracts in place because there is more quality control.

Ms. Young asked if they are doing the sidewalks.

Ms. Burns responded yes. She said that Deering Construction did get a number of contracts this season, 50 Washington, Ryan Park, Paving, Drainage, Sidewalks and this one. Prior to receiving this contract, they sat down with Deering to ensure they were adequately resourced to perform all of the work. They went over all of the contract requirements, schedules, payment requirements to set the tone to organize the construction season and they did express some concerns because there are a lot of high visibility projects that need to get completed.

Mr. Livingston asked if they are making any money on this.

Ms. Burns responded no they don't make money on this but there is a 5% administrative fee that covers the inspection costs.

Mr. Carr said it is like a pass through. They have a City contractor along with quality control as opposed to relying solely on the project contractor which gives them total control over the project.

Ms. Burns stated that there is a two (2) year moratorium so if someone comes in and the road was paved within the past two (2) years, unless it is an emergency, they do not allow a newly paved road to be cut.

****MR. IGNERI MOVED ITEMS 3A & 3B**
****MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

4. AUTHORIZE THE MAYOR, HARRY W. RILLING, TO EXECUTE ANY AND ALL DOCUMENTS NECESSARY FOR PRIME AE GROUP, INC. TO ASSIGN TO 3SG PLUS, LLC ITS RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER PRIME AE GROUP, INC.'S CONTRACT WITH THE CITY OF NORWALK FOR SCANNING AND INDEXING

SERVICES PERTAINING TO THE ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT SYSTEM PROJECT, DATED JANUARY 5, 2019.

There was no discussion on this item.

****MR. IGNERI MOVED THE ITEM**
****MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

INFORMATION / DISCUSSION

A. DISCUSSION

1. **DRAINAGE UPDATES**

Mr. Carr said that the City staff has done a great job of keeping him updated. He said that sometimes when you make one problem better it can make something else worse. He said that once you get the overall picture you can begin to focus on areas such as County Street, Saddle Road, etc. The staff is well versed and he thanked the residents for their input and patience and informed them that the City is committed to this issue. He said that the drainage study is important and would like to take a fresh look at it since precipitation has changed in the past seven (7) years when the Milone & MacBroom Study was conducted. He said that this would be looked at globally and these studies take time and it is very important to have the resident's input like the councilman said earlier. There will be public informational sessions and workshops where the residents could interact. It is important that the consultants hear from the residents so everything is included in the report that they provide. He gave an example of County Street's culvert behind Andrew's Field with heavy sediment and debris and they are issuing heavy pipe cleaning and a dredging contract in the next few weeks and that is an area of interest and will be on the top of the list. Concurrently DPW is prepared to go into that outlet of watercourse near County Street and clear it in a practical manner with the equipment that is available and perform routine maintenance around the inlet and there will be improvement.

Mr. Livingston asked if there was a sense of timing on the new study.

Mr. Carr responded they are meeting with the consultant this week to discuss the various areas of their concerns such as County Street. He said that if the maintenance on County Street doesn't work then they will further evaluate that area.

A resident asked about the trees growing roots in the pond and if that is something that would be looked at within the study.

Mr. Carr responded that they would leave that up to the consultants and if the trees are taking up substantial volume then they would look at other things. The big thing is to get the outlet clear and rid of debris. A consultant should be selected within the next few weeks. He said there will be an internal meeting with City staff to go over the initial scope and issues, a second meeting to give the residents an opportunity to place their opinion and viewpoint and the third would be a review of the report to see

if anything or everything needs to be supplemented with a second study. He said there is good information on the report.

Mr. Chiappetta said that he thought that in December 2018 they secured $150,000 to have Jacobs begin working on the problem and reviewing the study conducted by Milone and MacBroom.

Mr. Torre responded that Jacobs is working on the project as discussed, Friendly Pond was and still is the top priority and Jacobs is getting all the conservation permits needed to do the work. He said that Mr. Carr is referring to having another firm work concurrently with Jacobs.

Mr. Carr reiterated that those drainage courses and Friendly Pond maintenance issues will occur as part of that contract. Securing the conservation permits was the hold up.

Mr. Igneri stated that this issue is not being discussed now then leaving here and forgetting about it. They are constantly discussing these issues with other committees, looking for a long term solution for the City and not band aid the problem.

Mr. Sacchinelli introduced himself as the Chairman of the Health, Welfare, and Public Safety Committee. He stated that he is a non-voting member at this meeting and his committee took on this issue as well. They took this issue on as a health and safety component looking at it as a holistic view in conjunction with what DPW has already done. There is a high level of commitment from everyone involved and they understand that some of those issues are going to be long term practices and projects to resolve. He said they are looking at things based on puddles and the risk they impose on street lines, utilizing resources so the Police Department will be the first responders when there is flooding. There are receding lines and there has been an update on addressing those issues and he asked if the Committee could speak to that.

Mr. Torre said they are looking at sensors to go into the road so when water starts pounding on the road it will trigger lights flashing until DPW is able to get out there and close it off. This would give motorist some indication that there is flooding on the roadway before they drive into three (3) feet of water. They are looking for grants to fund this project.

Mr. Sacchinelli said that this would be groundbreaking because there are no other municipalities in the area doing anything like this.

Ms. Melendez added that obviously the people in this room are the ones most affected by this problem in the City and so they need to identify other areas that may also be affected in the future. She said they need to plan for other areas that may go through the same thing as the climate changes.

Ms. Young stated that the sewage issue is a grave concern and potential health risk.

Mr. Sacchinelli said that is what primarily prompted the meeting on Thursday. He said they have practices in the City and gave an example of tenant/landlord situation and mold. He said they are trying to create an urgency around the issue which is why they took it on from a secondary standpoint. He said that DPW has done a great job pulling the plans together. They are having conversations on securing additional funding, possibly through grants. He has made recommendations on leveraging the rainy day fund to literally address rainy days. He said they need to have a multi prong approach towards things. Environmental concerns where there is ground water going into the system, coming back out of system and into pond areas and what implications are from something like that which they do not have an answer for just yet. Health, Welfare and Public Safety Committee is committed to this issue and is working toward a resolve.

Ms. Burns gave an example of what had been done in the past in regards to a sewage issue. It was in the Catalpa/Gwendolyn area around when there was intense flooding in 2006/2007. The initial studies commenced, some of the initial dredging was done in 2007/2008. She said that WPCA is well funded and they are still trying to work out what the issues are. They thought they found the problem a few years ago because they found an inter connection between Clara Drive which is a cul-de-sac that comes toward the Saddle neighborhood, a few homes there, their sanitary sewer laterals were actually connected to the storm sewer. They thought they found where all that sewage was coming from and now again there are sewage issues happening. She said the WPCA Board felt it was a public health issue in 2007/2008 in the Catalpa/Gwendolyn area and so they implemented a sewer moratorium meaning no new connections in that sewer shed, which is different from the water shed, could be made until the comprehensive investigation was completed. The investigation included smoke testing and finding all those illicit connections and then going to fix them.

Mr. Livingston said that Rowayton floods a lot and he doesn't recall seeing sewage when it does flood so why is it doing that there. Is it due to the pumping stations?

Ms. Burns responded that it could be because of cross connections, illicit connections where people are not properly tied in. She said they eliminate them as they find them. A very big one was located on Wall Street a couple of weeks ago.

Mr. Livingston said so what the people are describing could be due to improper connections.

Ms. Burns responded yes somehow there are improper connections that they are not aware of between the two (2) systems.

Mr. Livingston asked if there was a complete map of the sanitary sewer system.

Ms. Burns said the sanitary sewer system is funded differently from the storm system so the sewer system is completely mapped. There are hydraulic modules of the entire system and TV on the entire system.

Mr. Livingston asked then why are they not aware if there was an improper connection.

Ms. Burns explained that the private home laterals are different. She said that is the reason for smoke testing by throwing a smoke bomb into the sanitary pipe and if you start to see it come out of the house, the plumbing stack, then you know you are connected to the wrong system.

Mr. Carr stated that it happens, a phenomena called I & I, inflow and infiltration. He explained stating inflow is storm water discharge into the sanitary sewer or illegal discharge. Storm and Sanitary should not be connected. Storm would be considered catch basins, drainage man holes and sump pumps and should not be connected to sanitary because if there is a bad flood and there is rain water as well, now there is both rain water and ground water pumping into the sanitary sewer system which could surcharge the system and back it up and that is when man holes are raised. That is the reason those systems need to be separate. He said there is a correlation between a bad rain storm and sewage peeking out and it is called I & I. Infiltration is groundwater getting into the sanitary sewer system. Part of the investigation the consultant will look at are those issues and they will give them a heads up that this is happening letting them know that there are sanitary sewer and storm water issues in said areas.

Mr. DiPasquale said that there was an investigation in that area in front of his house at 7 Saddle Road and that sewer in front of his house is a dead end. He continued saying every time it floods on Saddle Road, the manhole covers would pop open and sewage comes out of it and there is nothing tied in.

Mr. Carr stated it is a dead end manhole, first one on the line.

Mr. DiPasquale continued that there are no reports of the manhole covers because he closes them. He has a lot of information and videos of this and he wants to share.

Mr. Igneri stated for the record that normally there are no interactions with the public at this point of the meeting and would like everyone to keep the comments short.

Mr. Sacchinelli addressed the public on the green infrastructure comment. He said that in one of the earlier reports they described a practice that was conducted, which may have gone back 100 years or so, where they would plant trees. He said there are reasons why they do not do certain things now and in the Saddle Road area, they planted willow trees to mitigate some of the ground water and they have cut down a lot of the willow trees going up that property along where the ground water was identified so he asked why not plant some trees as they have done in the past. He asked the Committee if they would speak on that.

Mr. Carr stated that the problem with tree planting in general, the tree roots find their way down to the sanitary sewer service lines. He said that the roots seek nutrients which is the sanitary sewer portion and they seek air. They would break clay pipe or

crack them becoming a maintenance issue for the homeowner so that is why tree planting is discourage. He said when you fix one (1) problem another may follow. If the trees were planted strategically far enough away from sanitary sewer lines and they are the rights species of trees, it may work but it also can become a more burden for everyone in the future.

Mr. Sacchinelli said that some of the organic topics that have come up in conversations as they have gone through their reports and they are looking at it as a potential to resolve moving forward.

Ms. Burns said that there are grants available for doing the bioswales. She said in New Haven it was a joint effort between their WPCA and their storm water system, their combined sewer system. New Haven is still checking the success of this program and keeping close contact of their success and loses. She said they are talking about pursuing that in the urban core so it is on their list of considerations.

Mr. Chiappetta asked how long does the permit process with the conservation department actually take.

Ms. Burns responded that the five (5) areas affected after the flooding, they are trying to triage through the numerous areas that were flooded in October. That is when Jacobs were hired in November. The five (5) areas for dredging are Friendly Pond, Lloyd Road, Tea Kettle, Keeler, Ponus and Hunter's Lane. Jacob's was asked to do the conservation permitting for the City and they are in the process of doing that. The field investigations have been completed.

Mr. Carr added they anticipate those applications for those areas to be presented to the Conservation Commission before the end of May.

Ms. Burns said that they are underway. To keep going on a parallel path they are allowing the contract to go out and begin the work prior to obtaining the permits. They are finalizing the amount of the material to put into the contract and hopefully will have that back before the main meeting, including in that is heavy pipe cleaning and should address some of the issues on County Street. The DPW Staff are already trying to get that cleaned.

Mr. Torre said that their staff will be out there trying to get that cleared out until they can make some headway and if their equipment can't do it they would include that in the contract. They will not wait a month to try to get some of this completed.

Mr. Carr said if they can't get through with their equipment because it may require specialized equipment they would at least clear the inlet from that pond area.

A resident asked a question about dredging Friendly Pond and said there is nothing going in there right now and the problem is between Saddle Road and Friendly Pond so has those pipes been checked to see what is going on.

Mr. Torre said there is not an obstruction in those pipes.

Mr. Carr said one (1) of those obstructions are at the bottom. At Friendly Pond the outlet is submerged with sediment.

Mr. Torre said that the dredging should offer some immediate assistance to the drainage problems.

Mr. Carr said that it is a short term solution but a very good one and often times when you dredge ponds and waterways they can make a world of difference but he can't quantify how much but there will be a difference.

Ms. Burns said that when they say dredging it is a much defined dredging what happened in that 2007/2008 period where there are two (2) different outlets that come in and the way they come in creates a mound that stops the flow from going so it is really reestablishing those channels. This would make that flow go through to get somewhere else.

Mr. D'Acunto asked if that was something former director Mr. Alvord was doing.

Ms. Burns responded yes. They were thinking about extending it out with a half pipe.

Mr. Igneri stated that they are moving forward and will have meetings regarding the different areas as they find out what is going on to find a resolution.

Ms. Lauricella asked if the Committee and the Mayor's Office could work together to have a public outreach approach when there is meetings so they can get the information.

Mr. Igneri moved the Customer Service item to May. He asked the public if anyone knows the Customer Service phone number.

Ms. Lauricella was the only person to respond and she said yes, 203-854-3200.

Mr. Igneri stated if anyone has an issue and needs assistance right away that would be how to do it.

Ms. Lauricella asked about receiving an id number when they call customer service.

Mr. Torre said if you use the app, it will give you that number and update you as someone in the City makes comments or when it is closed. If you get an update that it is closed and you see no results, call back.

A resident asked in the meantime if the Committee knows of any insurance they could purchase for the flood damages because they need some help.

Mr. Sacchinelli said that some banks may have recommendation/information for flood areas.

B. CUSTOMER SERVICE REPORT MARCH 2019

There was no discussion on this item.

C. MONTHLY SOLID WASTE REPORT – FEBRUARY 2019

Mr. Livingston asked if the transfer station's tonnage is down as it reads from the report.

Mr. Torre responded yes for this month. He said it is not a trend.

Mr. Carr thanked the City staff, Common Council Members for all of their assistance with these issues.

Mr. Igneri thanked the public for being very civil and allowing for the exchange between them.

UPCOMING PROJECTS

NEXT MEETING: Tuesday, May 7, 2019
Public Works Committee Meeting
7:00 P.M. Room 225, Norwalk City Hall

****MS. MELENDEZ MOVED TO ADJOURN**
****MOTION PASSED UNANIMOUSLY**

There was no further business and the meeting was unanimously adjourned at 8:28 p.m.

POLE ATTACHMENT INDEMNITY AGREEMENT

This **INDEMNITY AGREEMENT** (the "Agreement"), is dated and entered into as of this __ day of [April/May], 2019 (the "Effective Date"), by and between the **CITY OF NORWALK**, a municipal corporation and political subdivision of the State of Connecticut, acting herein by Harry W. Rilling, its Mayor, duly authorized (the "City"), and the PARKING AUTHORITY FOR THE CITY OF NORWALK, d/b/a/ **NORWALK PARKING AUTHORITY**, a municipal authority established pursuant to Chapter 100, Sections 7-202 through 7-212a, inclusive, of the Connecticut General Statutes, as amended and Chapter 73 of the Code of the City of Norwalk, as amended, with its principal office located at 11 North Water Street, Norwalk, Connecticut, 06854, acting herein by the undersigned, duly authorized (the "Authority", and together with the City, collectively referred to herein as the "Parties" or singularly as a "Party").

WHEREAS, the City has entered into that certain Pole Attachment Agreement with The Southern New England Telephone Company, d/b/a Frontier Communications ("Frontier"), dated [April/May] __, 2019, governing the terms and conditions of the City's use of Frontier's public utility poles for its traffic mobility equipment attachments within the communications gain, in accordance with C.G.S. § 16-233 (the "PAA");

WHEREAS, the Authority, while not party to the PAA, desires to attach certain of its equipment and/or facilities ("Repeaters") within the City's reserved space in the communications gain on Frontier's public utility poles (the "City's Municipal Gain");

WHEREAS, the Authority's occupation and use of a portion of the City's Municipal Gain, to install and maintain its Repeaters, may create unanticipated liability for the City, which the Parties wish to mitigate.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants, terms and conditions in this Agreement, the Parties agree as follows:

1. The Authority agrees to use the City's Municipal Gain, at its own cost and expense, for the purpose of: (i) installing, repairing, replacing, relocating, upgrading, modifying, and removing its Repeaters on Frontier's public utility poles, in a good and workmanlike manner to the reasonable satisfaction of the City; (ii) maintaining such Repeaters in good and safe repair and proper working order, at all times, so that the Repeaters are free of any danger; and (iii) inspecting and operating such Repeaters, in a manner that prevents hazard and promotes safe passage by the traveling public under, along, and within the area where such Repeaters are located.

2. The Authority shall not use any portion of the City's Municipal Gain for any purpose other than activities incidental to the installation, modification, reparation, maintenance, replacement, relocation, upgrade, inspection, operation and removal of the Repeaters within the City's Municipal Gain on Frontier's public utility poles.

3. The City reserves the right to use any area within the City's Municipal Gain for any purpose in any lawful manner. The Authority's use of the City's Municipal Gain shall not in any way interfere with the exercise of the City's rights to, or use of, its reserved space within the communications gain on Frontier's public utility poles. In the event of interference or the unavailability of adequate surface area for the City's attachments, the City may request that the Authority remove or relocate its Repeaters to another area on within the City's Municipal Gain or elsewhere on Frontier's public utility poles.

4. The Authority hereby expressly agrees to, at all times, indemnify, defend, and hold the City, its agents, employees, officials and representatives, harmless from and against any and all demands,

claims, damages, losses, expenses costs and liabilities of any nature or kind, including reasonable attorney's fees, that may directly or indirectly arise out of or be caused by the actions or omissions of the Authority, its agents, employees, engineers or contractors, subcontractors, material suppliers, representatives in connection with the installation, operation and maintenance of its Repeaters in the City's Municipal Gain. This indemnity shall survive termination of the PAA, this Agreement and the Authority's rights relative to the City's Municipal Gain; and shall not be limited by reason of any insurance coverage.

5. This Agreement shall be effective from the Effective Date and continue until such time as the Authority operates and maintains its Repeaters in the City's Municipal Gain, unless suspended or earlier terminated by the City, after thirty (30) days' prior written notice. Thereafter, the Authority shall, at its own cost and expense, remove all of its equipment, facilities and hardware from Frontier's public utility pole within forty-eight (48) hours. After such time, the City will be entitled, but not obligated, to remove the Authority's Repeaters and equipment, at the Authority's expense, unless the removal of same will cause an immediate and bona fide risk to public safety.

6. All notices required under this Agreement, other than routine communications necessary for the day-to-day performance of services and activities, shall be deemed properly given if hand-delivered or sent by United States registered or certified mail, postage prepaid, to the following addresses:

If to City: Office of Corporation Counsel
City of Norwalk
125 East Avenue, Room 237
Norwalk, Connecticut 06851

If to Authority: Richard Brescia, Chairman
Norwalk Parking Authority
11 North Water Street
Norwalk, CT 06854

7. Each and every provision and clause required by law to be inserted in this Agreement shall be deemed to be inserted and the Agreement shall be read and enforced as though such provisions and clauses were included herein. If, through mistake or otherwise, any such provision is not inserted or is not correctly inserted then the Parties hereby agree to physically amend this Agreement to include such provision.

8. This Agreement shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of Connecticut. If any provision of this Agreement is held invalid, the balance of the provisions of this Agreement shall not be affected thereby if such provisions would then continue to conform to the requirements of applicable laws. The remaining provisions shall thereupon continue in full force and effect. Upon such determination that any term or other provision is invalid, illegal or unenforceable, the Parties shall negotiate in good faith to modify this Agreement so as to effect the original intent of the Parties as closely as possible in a mutually acceptable manner in order that the transactions contemplated hereby be consummated as originally contemplated to the greatest extent possible.

9. The terms and conditions stated herein shall bind the Parties, their heirs, assigns and successors in interests until such time as the Parties terminate this entire Agreement and any and all authorizations and rights granted hereunder. Termination of this Agreement by either Party will not waive, release or impair the contractual obligations of each Party that arose or accrued under this Agreement before the termination.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties have caused this Agreement to be executed as of the day and year first above written.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered
in the Presence of:

_____
Witness

_____
Witness

CITY OF NORWALK

By:_____
Harry W. Rilling
Its Mayor
Duly Authorized

Signed, Sealed and Delivered
in the Presence of:

_____
Witness

_____
Witness

PARKING AUTHORITY FOR THE CITY OF NORWALK d/b/a NORWALK PARKING AUTHORITY

By:_____
Richard Brescia
Its Chairman
Duly Authorized

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
OFFICE OF CORPORATION COUNSEL

By:_____

DRAFT

MEMORANDUM

To: Members of the Public Works Committee

Members of the Common Council

From Michael Yeosock, PE – Assistant Direct – TMP

RE: Frontier Pole Attachment Agreement

Date: May 3, 2019

For several years now, under a Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control agreement, utilities have been allowed to charge municipalities a pole attachment fee to install any City-owned equipment on a utility pole, including those installed within the municipal gains space. The City is currently look to replace parking sensors in various locations which will require equipment be attached to certain utilities poles. This would be subject to a one-time attachment fee. In addition, the City is currently in the initial stages of a traffic signal system upgrade project that will also require attaching to several utility poles, also requiring a one-time attachment fee.

Based upon this proposed work and discussions with Frontier and the City's Corporation Council, it is preferred that the City execute one general municipal attachment agreement with Frontier at this time to cover both of these projects and any other minor projects that may evolve from time to time. As we are not certain of the specific number of poles and/or location of these poles or the exact cost for any make-ready work for these attachments, we are requesting an amount not exceed $10,000. This would cover the cost to attach to approximately 200 poles. Any payments made will be based on the number of poles attached to and at the approved rate in effect at the installation. Any costs for make-ready work will be based on the actual time and materials required.

CRISTINO ASSOCIATES INC.
ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS ENGINEERING
DESIGN, FORENSICS AND TRAINING

National Academy of Forensic Engineers

CRISTINO ASSOCIATES INC. RATE SCHEDULE

	Rate Per Hour
Principal Engineer	$ 250.00
Licensed Professional Engineer	230.00
Junior Engineer	100.00
Engineering Technician	75.00
Computer/CAD Technician	65.00
Field Technician	75.00
Clerical Support	50.00

Effective Date: 1-1-2019

LOIS LANE • P.O. BOX 1238 • REDDING, CT 06875-1238
(203) 938-0500 • FAX: (203) 938-0511 • WEBSITE: WWW.CRISTINO.COM

Burns, Lisa

From: Kevin Barber <kbarber@ttd.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 02, 2019 10:00 AM
To: Burns, Lisa; Adams, Mike; Valadares, Vanessa
Cc: Little, Thomas; Cipriano, Monique
Subject: RE: Design cost

Good morning Lisa,
TTD will engage Joe on this project and will request reimbursement for costs, with no markup, from the City.

Kevin

From: Burns, Lisa <LBurns@Norwalkct.org>
Sent: Thursday, May 02, 2019 9:21 AM
To: Mike Adams <madams@ttd.gov>; Valadares, Vanessa <VValadares@norwalkct.org>; Kevin Barber <kbarber@ttd.gov>
Cc: Little, Thomas <tlittle@norwalkct.org>; Cipriano, Monique <MCIPRIANO@norwalkct.org>
Subject: Re: Design cost

Thank you. We will be putting this on the Public Works Committee agenda which goes out tomorrow. Will you be contracting with Joe directly, and request reimbursement from the City or should we engage his firm? I just need to know how to write the resolution. Thanks!

Get Outlook for iOS

On Thu, May 2, 2019 at 9:07 AM -0400, "Mike Adams" <madams@ttd.gov> wrote:

> Good morning. Please find attached the requested Rate sheet from Joe Cristino and associates. Speaking with Joe we estimate between $90K and $115K in engineering for the design cost.
>
> Regards,
>
> Mike Adams
>
> General Line Foreman
> Third Taxing District
> 2 Second Street
> E. Norwalk, CT 06855
>
> O:203-866-9271
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Mike Adams
> Sent: Wednesday, May 1, 2019 1:39 PM
> To: Valadares, Vanessa ; Kevin Barber
> Cc: Burns, Lisa ; Little, Thomas
> Subject: RE: Design cost

Please find the proposed design that we discussed last time we meet. This is only preliminary and should be considered a work in progress of a conceptual design only.

Regards,

Mike Adams

General Line Foreman
Third Taxing District
2 Second Street
E. Norwalk, CT 06855

O:203-866-9271

-----Original Message-----
From: Valadares, Vanessa
Sent: Wednesday, May 1, 2019 11:25 AM
To: Kevin Barber ; Mike Adams
Cc: Burns, Lisa ; Little, Thomas
Subject: Design cost

Kevin/Mike,
Just checking if you will be able to provide a design cost for the Engineer by tomorrow so we can add for approval into our next DPW Committee meeting The agenda will go out on Friday.
thanks!
Vanessa

Sent from my iPad

Good morning. Please find attached the requested Rate sheet from Joe Cristino and associates. Speaking with Joe we estimate between $90K and $115K in engineering for the design cost.

Regards,

Mike Adams

General Line Foreman
Third Taxing District
2 Second Street
E. Norwalk, CT 06855

O:203-866-9271

-----Original Message-----
From: Mike Adams
Sent: Wednesday, May 1, 2019 1:39 PM
To: Valadares, Vanessa <VValadares@norwalkct.org>; Kevin Barber <kbarber@ttd.gov>
Cc: Burns, Lisa <LBurns@Norwalkct.org>; Little, Thomas <tlittle@norwalkct.org>
Subject: RE: Design cost

Please find the proposed design that we discussed last time we meet. This is only preliminary and should be considered a work in progress of a conceptual design only.

Regards,

Mike Adams

General Line Foreman
Third Taxing District
2 Second Street
E. Norwalk, CT 06855

O:203-866-9271

-----Original Message-----
From: Valadares, Vanessa <VValadares@norwalkct.org>
Sent: Wednesday, May 1, 2019 11:25 AM
To: Kevin Barber <kbarber@ttd.gov>; Mike Adams <madams@ttd.gov>
Cc: Burns, Lisa <LBurns@Norwalkct.org>; Little, Thomas <tlittle@norwalkct.org>
Subject: Design cost

Kevin/Mike,
Just checking if you will be able to provide a design cost for the Engineer by tomorrow so we can add for approval into our next DPW Committee meeting The agenda will go out on Friday.
thanks!
Vanessa

Sent from my iPad

CITY OF NORWALK
Paul L. Sotnik, P.E.
Senior Civil Engineer
psotnik@norwalkct.org
P: 203-854-7891 / F: 203-857-0143
Norwalk City Hall
125 East Avenue, P.O. Box 5125
Norwalk, CT 06856-5125

MEMORANDUM

TO: Lisa Burns, P.E. - Principal Engineer

FROM: Paul L. Sotnik, P.E. - Senior Civil Engineer

REF: Items To Be Included On May 7, 2019 Public Works Committee Agenda

DATE: May 3, 2019

I have one item that I am requesting to have included on the agenda for the May 7, 2019 Public Works Committee meeting. I have listed the item below.

1.) APPROVAL/AUTHORIZATION

Schedule a Public Hearing of Necessity for the abandonment/discontinuance of a portion of East Avenue at the south end of the Green to incorporate the traffic island into the Green property owned by the First Taxing District. The Public Hearing of Necessity shall be conducted in order to hear all parties interested in commenting on the necessity of the proposed abandonment and to take such action on said application for abandonment that the Committee may deem advisable. The Public Hearing is scheduled for June 4, Public Works Committee Meeting at 7:00 P.M. in Room 225, 2nd floor in Norwalk City Hall, 125 East Avenue, Norwalk, CT.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

4

4-12-19

CITY OF NORWALK
Norwalk City Hall
125 East Avenue, PO Box 5125
Room 225
Norwalk, CT 06856-5125
P: 203-854-7791 / F: 203-857-0143

NORWALK
Public Works

LEGAL NOTICE
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

The Department of Public Works of the City of Norwalk, CT is seeking to engage a Professional Engineering firm to provide engineering services for the following drainage study:

STORM DRAINAGE SYSTEM EVALUATION AT SELECTED LOCATIONS (THE PROJECT).

The successful consultant will be responsible for completing the Project that will include areas the City has identified with chronic drainage problems, including storm drain and culvert systems, watercourses and ponds that have severe sedimentation-obstructed flow and reduced natural flood retention capacity. The consultant will investigate existing conditions and drainage system mapping for the locations selected for this Project. The overall Scope of Work will include hydrologic and hydraulic modeling as required, GIS development and gathering additional survey information necessary to complete the Project, the preparation of alternative concept plans, public participation programs, preliminary design, environmental permitting and project budget cost estimates. The consultant should have a significant record of implementing construction projects with environmental permitting from Federal, State and Local Agencies.

The consultant Engineer will be evaluated and selected based on design and technical competence, the capacity and capability to perform the work within the time allotted, past record of performance, and knowledge of Federal, State and City procedures, appropriately weighted in descending order of importance.

The actual Scope of Services and Project fees will be negotiated with the selected consultant.

Firms responding to this request should be of adequate size and sufficiently staffed to perform the assignment described above. The firms Project Manager shall be available for direct supervision of the staff performing the work for this Project.

Prior to the negotiation process, the selected firm will be required to have a current corporate registration with the Secretary of State (partnerships excluded) in Connecticut. The selected firm will also be required to maintain insurance coverage from a firm approved to do business in the State of Connecticut. Proof of coverage must be submitted on a form acceptable to the City.

The selected firm must meet all City, State and Federal affirmative action and equal employment opportunity practices.

Five (5) copies of a letter of interest, together with general information on the firm and proposed sub consultants, the location of the office(s) where the work will be performed and the staff size of the office(s), a current rate schedule (hourly) for each position proposed, experience of the firm(s), and a resume of key personnel should be addressed to:

Lisa Burns, P.E., Principal Engineer
Department of Public Works
City of Norwalk, CT
125 East Avenue
Norwalk, CT. 06851

A1b

Additionally, all interested firms must submit a detailed statement including the organizational structure under which the firm proposes to conduct business. Proposed sub consultants should be clearly identified. The relationship to any "parent" firm or subsidiary firm, with any of the parties concerned, must be clearly defined.

Personnel in responsible charge of the project will be required to possess and maintain a valid Connecticut Professional Engineer's License.

All letters of interest must be postmarked by a US Post Office (if mailed) or received in the Department of Public Works, Room 225, Norwalk City Hall, no later than 4:00 P.M. on May 3, 2019. Responses received or postmarked after this date will not be considered.

_____ _____

Donna I. King, City Clerk Date

Instructions to Newspapers:

Please publish two (2) times, once on Friday, April 12, 2019 and once on Monday, April 15, 2019.

Please forward Publishers Affidavit for both dates to:

Paul Sotnik, P.E.
Senior Civil Engineer
City of Norwalk
Department of Public Works
125 East Avenue
Norwalk, CT, 06856

NORWALK
Public Works

TO: Public Work Committee

FROM: Connie Blair, Customer Service Manager

SUBJECT: Customer Service Calls and Requests April 1st -30th 2019
DATE: May 1, 2019

The Customer Service Center received 803 service calls with 716 requests for service related to Public Works. Listed are amounts of requests received in each Public Works category, what number of service requests remain in progress, and the number of requests completed.

Category:	**In Progress**	**Completed**	**Total**
Drainage Catch basin cleaning, and Repair, culvert maintenance	6	19	25
Engineering Contractor trench, permit Inspections, paving	29	4	33
Landscaping Tree inspection and removal, Tree pruning and cutbacks	17	51	69
Snow Plow damage, salt/sand	17	25	42
Road Potholes, curbing, and sidewalk	20	69	89
Solid Waste Recycling, and garbage	2	249	251
Bulk Waste Billing/Acct.	94	55	149
Traffic Mobility & Parking New signs Signal Maintenance Etc.	11	5	16
DPW/Traffic Maintenance Street and existing signs	5	25	30
Ordinance Enforcement	19	3	22
		Public Works Total Request	**716**

125 East Avenue • P.O. Box 5125, NORWALK, CT 06856-5125 • TELEPHONE 203-854-7791 • FAX 203-857-0143

B

NORWALK
The Sound of Connecticut

To: Anthony R. Carr, Chief of Operations and Public Works
Chris Torre, Superintendant of Operations
Lisa Burns, Principal Engineer
Dilene Byrd, Administrative Assistant

From: Jessica C. Paladino, Waste Programs Manager

Date: April 18, 2019

Re: MSW and Recycling Report - March 2019

	MSW Collection and Disposal				
Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)	**Previous FY: Mar-18**		**Current FY: Mar-19**	**Change**	**FY 18/19 Cumulative**
Curbside tonnage	1,172.73		1,112.27	-5.2%	11,520.13
MSW tonnage out	1,775.26		1,678.37	-5.5%	17,471.12
Transfer station operating fee	$66,875.00		$68,291.67	2.1%	$614,625.03
Transport and disposal fee	$156,222.88		$149,374.93	-4.4%	$1,554,929.68
Curbside collection fee	$92,754.75		$95,537.42	3.0%	$859,836.78
Total operating costs (less curbside)	$223,097.88		$217,666.60	-2.4%	$2,169,554.71

MSW Consolidated Budget		
FY 18/19 Budget		$4,045,199.00
Amount expended		$3,029,391.48
Percent remaining		25.1%

	Recycling Collection				
Recycling Collection	**Previous FY: Mar-18**		**Current FY: Mar-19**	**Change**	**FY 18/19 Cumulative**
Curbside tonnage	666.36		647.58	-2.8%	6,548.72
Transfer station tonnage	42.06		33.13	-21.2%	346.65
Total monthly tonnage	708.42		680.71	-3.9%	6,895.37
Curbside collection fee	$90,040.75		$92,741.92	3.0%	$834,677.28

Recycling Budget		
FY 18/19 Budget		$1,112,903.00
Amount expended		$834,677.28
Percent Remaining		25.0%

	MSW and Recycling Revenues				
MSW and Recycling Revenues	**Previous FY: Mar-18**		**Current FY: Mar-19**	**Change**	**FY 18/19 Cumulative**
Transfer station tip fees	$34,233.05		$34,178.40	-0.2%	$357,699.50
Recycling revenue (curbside)	$11,661.30		$11,332.65	-2.8%	$114,602.60
Recycling revenue (T.S.)	$736.05		$579.78	-21.2%	$6,066.38
Total revenues	$46,630.40		$46,090.83	-1.2%	$478,368.48

C

NORWALK
The Sound of Connecticut

To: Anthony R. Carr, Chief of Operations & Public Works
Chris Torre, Superintendant of Operations
Dilene Byrd, Administrative Assistant

From: Jessica C. Paladino, Waste Programs Manager

Date: April 25, 2019

Re: 2019 Bulky Collection Report

Calendar Year 2019

Month	Number of Collections	Bulky Weight (Tons)	Metal Weight (Tons)	Plastic Weight (Tons)	NOTES
January	70	7.18	1.08	0.21	
February	83	6.76	0.80	0.20	
March	63	6.26	0.87	0.28	
April	110	10.13	1.28	0.64	
May	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	
June	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	
July	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	
August	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	
September	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	
October	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	
November	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	
December	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Year to Date	**326**	**30.33**	**4.03**	**1.33**	

C1

CITY OF NORWALK
Jessica C. Paladino
Waste Programs Manager
jpaladino@norwalkct.org
P: 203-854-3215 / F: 203-854-3224
15 South Smith Street
Norwalk, CT 06855

April 26, 2019

Ms. Paula Guerrera
Bureau of Materials Management & Compliance Assurance
Recycling Office
Department of Energy & Environmental Protection
79 Elm Street, 4th Floor
Hartford, CT 06106-5127

Subject: City of Norwalk
Quarterly Report – 1st Quarter 2019

Dear Ms. Guerrera:

Enclosed please find the quarterly report for the First Quarter 2019 (January, February, and March) for the City of Norwalk Transfer Station at 61 Crescent Street, Norwalk CT.

If you should have any questions or require additional information, please feel free to contact me at 203-854-3215.

Very truly yours,

Jessica C. Paladino
Waste Programs Manager

cc: DPW File

C2

MUNICIPAL TRANSFER STATION (TS) QUARTERLY REPORT FORM (9/23/2016 version)

(For ***Municipal*** Transfer Stations (1) Receiving Solid Waste and/or Recyclables from One Municipality **or** (2) Receiving Solid Waste & Recyclables from Fewer than Four Municipalities with No Scale to Separately Track Amounts Received from Each Municipality)

REPORTING FACILITY	Facility Name: City of Norwalk Transfer Station
	Facility Location - Street: 61 Crescent St.
	Town: Norwalk State: CT Zipcode: 06850 Phone: 203-854-3200

REPORTING QUARTER YEAR: 2019 QUARTER: ☒1ST-Jan-Mar; ☐2nd Apr-Jun; ☐3rd Jul-Sep; ☐4th Oct-Dec

Are loads of waste and/or recyclables weighed at the transfer station when received? ☒Yes ☐No

(1) If no, please describe method for estimating tonnage reported received:

(2) If yes, and this transfer station receives waste and/or recyclables from more than one municipality, are the weights from each municipality weighed and tracked separately when received at the transfer station? ☐Yes ☒No

If the answer to (2) is yes; please contact Judy Belaval at DEEP (860) 424-3237 to request a multi-town TS quarterly reporting form.

PART 1: QUANTITIES (TONS) OF SOLID WASTE & RECYCLABLES RECEIVED

CT CITY(ies) OR CT TOWN(s) of ORIGIN	City of Norwalk

Does the MSW tonnage include non-residential waste? ☒Yes ☐No;
If Yes – what is your ballpark estimate % of MSW that is non-residential? 25%

TYPE of SOLID WASTE or RECYCLABLE RECEIVED	TONS RCVD this QTR
MSW[1]	5,162.07 Tons
OVERSIZED MSW (e.g. furniture, carpets, etc)	
CONSTRUCTION & DEMOLITION (C&D) WASTE (e.g. dry wall, brick, concrete, lumber, etc.)	
MIX – OVERSIZED MSW/C&D WASTE	
LANDCLEARING DEBRIS (LOGS/STUMPS)	188.19 Tons
SPECIAL[2]	
RECYCLABLES – Type: Single Stream Recycling ☒ Res or ☒ NonRes	2,094.93 Tons
RECYCLABLES – Type: Scrap Metal ☒ Res or ☒ NonRes	101.61 Tons
RECYCLABLES – Type: Electronics ☒ Res or ☒ NonRes	34.25 Tons
RECYCLABLES – Type: Tires ☒ Res or ☒ NonRes	3.31 Tons
RECYCLABLES – Type: ☐ Res or ☐ NonRes	

[1] **MSW** is solid waste from residential, commercial and industrial sources; excluding hazardous, bulky, biomedical, sludge, or scrap metal waste.

[2] **SPECIAL WASTE** is any waste other than hazardous or biomedical which requires special handling for safe disposal

PART 2: QUANTITIES (TONS) of SOLID WASTE and RECYCLABLES TRANSFERRED

TYPE of WASTE or RECYCLABLE TRANSFERRED	DESTINATION Name/Location Of Disposal Facility Receiving Waste Or Recycling Facility Receiving Recyclables	TONNAGE TRANSFERRED OUT THIS QUARTER
MSW	Bridgeport RESCO 6 Howard Avenue, Bridgeport CT	5,162.07 Tons
C&D Waste		
Oversized MSW		
MIX C&D Waste & Oversized MSW		
OTHER – Type: Landclearing (Logs/ Stumps/ Woodchips)	DW Transport & Leasing 140 Route 32 North Franklin, CT	188.19 Tons
RECYCLABLE Type: Single-Stream	City Carting Taylor Reed Facility Taylor Reed Avenue, Stamford CT	2,094.93 Tons
RECYCLABLE Type: Scrap Metal	LaJoie's Auto & Scrap 40 Meadow St, Norwalk CT	101.61 Tons
RECYCLABLE Type: Electronic Waste	Newtech Recycling 600A Apgar Drive, Somerset NJ	34.25 Tons
RECYCLABLE Type: Tires	Empire Tire 1414 Norwich Road, Plainfield CT	3.31 Tons
RECYCLABLE Type:		

PART 3 - CERTIFICATION and SIGNATURE

This document, which is required to be submitted to the Commissioner of the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection, shall be signed by the Permittee or, if Permittee is not an individual, by Permittee's chief executive officer or a duly authorized representative of such officer, as those terms are defined in §22a-430-3(b)(2) of the Regulations of Connecticut State Agencies, and by the individual(s) responsible for actually preparing such document, and each such individual shall certify in writing as follows:

> "I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments thereto, and I certify, based on reasonable investigation, including my inquiry of those individuals responsible for obtaining the information, that the submitted information is true, accurate and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement made in the submitted information may be punishable as a criminal offense under §53a-157b of the Connecticut General Statutes and any other applicable law."

Signature of permittee or duly authorized representative of permittee: _____ **Date: 4/26/19**

Printed name: Anthony R. Carr **Title: Chief of Operations & Public Works**

Signature of person responsible for preparing report: _____ **Date: 4/26/19**

Printed name: Jessica C. Paladino **Title: Waste Programs Manager**

Phone #: 203-854-3215 **Fax #: 203-854-3224** **E-mail Address: jpaladino@norwalkct.org**